arXiv:math/0603270v1 [math.QA] 11 Mar 2006

REPRESENTATIONS PARAMETERIZED BY A PAIR OF CHARACTERS

DAVID E. RADFORD AND HANS JÜRGEN SCHNEIDER

Abstract. Let U and A be algebras over a field k. We study algebra structures H on the underlying tensor product $U\otimes A$ of vector spaces which satisfy $(u\otimes a)(u'\otimes a') = uu'\otimes aa'$ if $a = 1$ or $u' = 1$. For a pair of characters $\rho \in \mathrm{Alg}(U, k)$ and $\chi \in \mathrm{Alg}(A, k)$ we define a left H-module $L(\rho, \chi)$. Under reasonable hypotheses the correspondence $(\rho, \chi) \mapsto L(\rho, \chi)$ determines a bijection between character pairs and the isomorphism classes of objects in a certain category ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ of left H-modules. In many cases the finite-dimensional objects of ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ are the finite-dimensional irreducible left H-modules.

In [15] we apply the results of this paper and show that the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of a wide class of pointed Hopf algebras are parameterized by pairs of characters.

Introduction

This paper develops the theory of a type of modules for certain algebra structures H defined on tensor products which, in many cases, accounts for the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of H. The general results are applied to the study of irreducible modules of a certain class of pointed Hopf algebras over a field k in [15]. This class is very basic in light of the results of the program of Andruskiewitsch and the second author to determine the structure of pointed Hopf algebras with commutative coradicals [1, 2, 3, 4]. The Hopf algebras of interest to us are quotients of certain two-cocycle twists $H = (U\otimes A)^\sigma$ of the tensor product of two pointed Hopf algebras U and A over k.

Research by the first author partially supported by NSA Grant H98230-04-1-0061. A significant amount of work on this paper was done during his visits to the Mathematisches Institut der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München during June of 2003 and May of 2004 and during the visits of the second author to the Department of Mathematics, and Statistics, and Computer Science at the University of Illinois at Chicago during September 2003 and March 2005. The first author expresses his gratitude for the hospitality and support he received from the Institut and the second expresses his gratitude for the same he received from UIC.

As a vector space $H = U \otimes A$ and multiplication has the property $(u \otimes a)(u' \otimes a') = uu' \otimes aa'$ whenever $a = 1$ or $u' = 1$.

The natural context for us to begin our study is in the category of algebra structures on the tensor product $U \otimes A$ of the underlying vector spaces of algebras U and A over k which satisfy the multiplication property. For a pair of characters $\rho \in \mathrm{Alg}(U, k)$ and $\chi \in \mathrm{Alg}(A, k)$ we construct a left H-module $L(\rho, \chi)$ and a right H-module $R(\chi, \rho)$. They satisfy a duality relationship with respect to a certain H-balanced bilinear form Ψ.

We describe the modules $L(\rho, \chi)$ and $R(\chi, \rho)$ abstractly. The H-module $L(\rho, \chi)$ contains a codimension one left U-submodule and is generated as an H-module by a one-dimensional A-submodule. Let ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ be the full subcategory of all left H-modules whose objects contain a left U-submodule of codimension one and contain a one-dimensional left A-submodule. Under mild conditions we show the correspondence $(\rho, \chi) \mapsto L(\rho, \chi)$ is one-to-one. One of our main results gives natural conditions under which this correspondence determines a bijection between the Cartesian product $\mathrm{Alg}(U, k) \times \mathrm{Alg}(A, k)$ and the isomorphism classes of ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$. We will need to know when finite-dimensional irreducible representations are one-dimensional.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we set our notation for algebras, coalgebras, Hopf algebras, and the like. Two-cocycles σ are reviewed and the Hopf algebra $H = (U \otimes A)^\sigma$ is described. The Drinfeld double of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra H over k can be viewed as $D(H) = (H^{* \, cop} \otimes H)^\sigma$ for some two-cocycle.

Let A and U be algebras over k. In Section 2 we develop a theory of algebra structures H on $U \otimes A$ which satisfy the multiplication property. We define the H-modules $L(\chi, \rho)$, $R(\rho, \chi)$ and study them as explicit constructions and also more abstractly. The H-modules $L(\chi, \rho)$ are objects of ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$, the category whose objects M are left H-modules which contain a codimension one left U-submodule N and are generated as an H-module by a one-dimensional left A-submodule km. We study this category and its refinement ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}'$, whose objects are triples (M, N, km), and duality relations with their counterparts for right H-modules. There are natural H-balanced bilinear forms which provide duality relationships between the objects of ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}'$ and $\underline{\mathcal{M}}'_H$.

Section 3 contains the main results for the modules $L(\chi, \rho)$ in the more abstract setting of the category ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$. We first consider conditions on the characters ρ and χ separately in connection with the correspondence $(\rho, \chi) \mapsto L(\rho, \chi)$. Our main theorem gives natural conditions under which this correspondence determines a bijection between $\mathrm{Alg}(U, k) \times \mathrm{Alg}(A, k)$ and the isomorphism classes of the objects of

${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$. In this case each object of ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ has a unique codimension one U-submodule and a unique one-dimensional left A-submodule. Under the hypothesis of the theorem, when the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of U and A are one-dimensional then the finite-dimensional irreducible left H-modules are the same as the finite-dimensional objects of ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$. We consider the case when U and A are Hopf algebras in Section 4. In Section 5 we consider conditions under which the irreducible representations of an algebra are one-dimensional for applications to certain classes of pointed Hopf algebras.

Throughout k is a field and all vector spaces are over k. For vector spaces U and V we will drop the subscript k from $\mathrm{End}_k(V)$, $\mathrm{Hom}_k(U, V)$, and $U\otimes_k V$. We denote the identity map of V by $\mathrm{id}_V = \mathrm{id}$. For a non-empty subset S of the dual space V^* we let $S^\perp$ denote the subspace of V consisting of the common zeros of the functionals in S. The "twist" map $\tau_{U,V} : U\otimes V \longrightarrow V\otimes U$ is defined by $\tau_{U,V}(u\otimes v) = v\otimes u$ for all $u \in U$ and $v \in V$. For $p \in U^*$ and $u \in U$ we denote the evaluation of p on u by $p(u)$ or $<p, u>$. Any one of [8, 10, 16] will serve as a Hopf algebra reference for this paper.

1. Preliminaries

For a group G we let $\widehat{G}$ denote the group of characters of G with values in k. $H = kG$ denotes the group algebra of G over k which is a Hopf algebra arising in most applications in this paper. We usually denote the antipode of a Hopf algebra over k by S.

Let (A, m, η) be an algebra over k, which we shall usually denote by A. Generally we represent algebraic objects defined on a vector space by their underlying vector space. We say that $a, b \in A$ *skew commute* if $ab = \omega ba$ for some non-zero $\omega \in k$. Note that (A, m^{op}, η) is an algebra over k, where $m^{op} = m\circ\tau_{A,A}$. We denote A with this algebra structure by A^{op} and we denote the category of left (respectively right) A-modules and module maps by ${}_A\mathcal{M}$ (respectively $\mathcal{M}_A$). If $\mathcal{C}$ is a category, by abuse of notation we will write $C \in \mathcal{C}$ to indicate that C is an object of $\mathcal{C}$.

Let M be a left A-module. Then M^* is a right A-module under the transpose action which is given by $(m^*{\cdot}a)(m) = m^*(a{\cdot}m)$ for all $m^* \in M^*$, $a \in A$, and $m \in M$. Likewise if M is a right A-module then M^* is a left A-module where $(a{\cdot}m^*)(m) = m^*(m{\cdot}a)$ for all $a \in A$, $m^* \in M^*$, and $m \in M$.

Let (C, Δ, ϵ) be a coalgebra over k, which we usually denote by C. Generally we use a variant on the Heyneman-Sweedler notation for the coproduct and write $\Delta(c) = c_{(1)}\otimes c_{(2)}$ to denote $\Delta(c) \in C\otimes C$ for $c \in C$.

Note that $(C, \Delta^{cop}, \epsilon)$ is a coalgebra over k, where $\Delta^{cop} = \tau_{C,C}\circ\Delta$. We let C^{cop} denote the vector space C with this coalgebra structure. Observe that C is a C^*-bimodule with the actions defined by

$$c^*{\rightharpoonup}c = c_{(1)}{<}c^*, c_{(2)}{>} \quad \text{and} \quad c{\leftharpoonup}c^* = {<}c^*, c_{(1)}{>}c_{(2)}$$

for all $c^* \in C^*$ and $c \in C$.

Suppose that (M, δ) is a left C-comodule. For $m \in M$ we use the notation $\delta(m) = m_{(-1)}\otimes m_{(0)}$ to denote $\delta(m) \in C\otimes M$. If (M, δ) is a right C-comodule we denote $\delta(m) \in M\otimes C$ by $\delta(m) = m_{(0)}\otimes m_{(1)}$. Observe that our coproduct and comodule notations do not conflict.

Bilinear forms play an important role in this paper. We will think of them in terms of linear forms $\beta : U\otimes V \longrightarrow k$ and will often write $\beta(u, v)$ for $\beta(u\otimes v)$. Note that β determines linear maps $\beta_\ell : U \longrightarrow V^*$ and $\beta_r : V \longrightarrow U^*$ where $\beta_\ell(u)(v) = \beta(u, v) = \beta_r(v)(u)$ for all $u \in U$ and $v \in V$. The form β is left (respectively right) non-singular if β_ℓ (respectively β_r) is one-one and β is non-singular if it is both left and right non-singular.

Suppose that A is an algebra over k, U is a right A-module, V is a left A-module, and $\beta : U\otimes V \longrightarrow k$ is a linear form. Then β is A-balanced if $\beta(u{\cdot}a, v) = \beta(u, a{\cdot}v)$ for all $u \in U$, $a \in A$, and $v \in V$.

For subspaces $X \subseteq U$ and $Y \subseteq V$ we define subspaces $X^\perp \subseteq V$ and $Y^\perp \subseteq U$ by

$$X^\perp = \{v \in V \mid \beta(X, v) = (0)\,\} \quad \text{and} \quad Y^\perp = \{u \in U \mid \beta(u, Y)(0)\,\}.$$

Note that there is a form $\overline{\beta} : U/V^\perp\otimes V/U^\perp \longrightarrow k$ uniquely determined by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U\otimes V \arrow[dr, "\beta"] \arrow[dd] & \\
 & k \\
U/V^{\perp}\otimes V/U^{\perp} \arrow[ur, "\overline{\beta}"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical map is the tensor product of the projections. Observe that $V^\perp = \operatorname{Ker}\beta_\ell$, $U^\perp = \operatorname{Ker}\beta_r$, and that $\overline{\beta}$ is non-singular.

Let A be a bialgebra over k. A 2-cocycle for A is a convolution invertible linear form $\sigma : A\otimes A \longrightarrow k$ which satisfies

$$\sigma(x_{(1)}, y_{(1)})\sigma(x_{(2)}y_{(2)}, z) = \sigma(y_{(1)}, z_{(1)})\sigma(x, y_{(2)}z_{(2)})$$

for all $x, y, z \in A$. If σ is a 2-cocycle for A then A^σ is a bialgebra, where $A^\sigma = A$ as a coalgebra and multiplication $m^\sigma : A\otimes A \longrightarrow A$ is given by

$$m^\sigma(x\otimes y) = \sigma(x_{(1)}, y_{(1)})x_{(2)}y_{(2)}\sigma^{-1}(x_{(3)}, y_{(3)})$$

for all $x, y \in A$. See for example [5].

Let U and A be bialgebras over k and suppose that $\tau : U{\otimes}A \longrightarrow k$ is a linear form. Consider the axioms:

(A.1) $\tau(u, aa') = \tau(u_{(2)}, a)\tau(u_{(1)}, a')$ for all $u \in U$ and $a, a' \in A$;
(A.2) $\tau(1, a) = \epsilon(a)$ for all $a \in A$;
(A.3) $\tau(uu', a) = \tau(u, a_{(1)})\tau(u', a_{(2)})$ for all $u, u' \in U$ and $a \in A$;
(A.4) $\tau(u, 1) = \epsilon(u)$ for all $u \in U$.

We leave the reader with the exercise of establishing:

Lemma 1.1. *Let U and A be bialgebras over the field k and suppose $\tau : U{\otimes}A \longrightarrow k$ is a linear form. Then the following are equivalent:*

a) *(A.1)–(A.4) hold.*
b) *$\tau_\ell(U) \subseteq A^o$ and $\tau_\ell : U \longrightarrow A^{o\,cop} = A^{op\,o}$ is a bialgebra map.*
c) *$\tau_r(A) \subseteq U^o$ and $\tau_r : A \longrightarrow U^{o\,op}$ is a bialgebra map.*

□

Suppose that (A.1)–(A.4) hold, τ is convolution invertible, and define a linear form $\sigma : (U{\otimes}A){\otimes}(U{\otimes}A) \longrightarrow k$ by $\sigma(u{\otimes}a, u'{\otimes}a') = \epsilon(a)\tau(u', a)\epsilon(a')$ for all $u, u' \in U$ and $a, a' \in A$. Then σ is a 2-cocycle. We denote the 2-cocycle twist bialgebra structure on the tensor product bialgebra $U{\otimes}A$ by $H = (U{\otimes}A)^\sigma$. Observe that

$$(u{\otimes}a)(u'{\otimes}a') = u\tau(u'_{(1)}, a_{(1)})u'_{(2)}{\otimes}a_{(2)}\tau^{-1}(u'_{(3)}, a_{(3)})a' \tag{1.1}$$

for all $u, u' \in U$ and $a, a' \in A$.

An easy, but important, exercise to do is the following.

Lemma 1.2. *Suppose that U, A are bialgebras over the field k and $\tau : U{\otimes}A \longrightarrow k$ satisfies (A.1)–(A.4). Then τ has a convolution inverse if*

a) *U is a Hopf algebra with antipode S, in which case $\tau^{-1}(u, a) = \tau(S(u), a)$ for all $u \in U$ and $a \in A$, or*
b) *A^{op} is a Hopf algebra with antipode T, in which case $\tau^{-1}(u, a) = \tau(u, T(a))$ for all $u \in U$ and $a \in A$.*

□

The quantum double provides an important example of a 2-cocycle twist bialgebra [5].

Example 1.3. Let A be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over k, let $U = A^{o\,cop}$, and let $\tau : U{\otimes}A \longrightarrow k$ be defined by $\tau(p, a) = p(a)$ for all $p \in U$ and $a \in A$. Then $\tau_\ell : U \longrightarrow A^{o\,cop}$ is the identity map and $(U{\otimes}A)^\sigma = D(A)$.

Observe that finite-dimensionality was not necessary to define a bialgebra structure on $D(A) = A^{o\,cop}\otimes A$. For any Hopf algebra A with bijective antipode we let $D(A) = (U\otimes A)^{\sigma}$, where τ is defined as above.

Suppose that U, $\overline{U}$ and A, $\overline{A}$ are algebras over k. Suppose further that $\tau : U\otimes A \longrightarrow k$ and $\overline{\tau} : \overline{U}\otimes\overline{A} \longrightarrow k$ are convolution invertible linear forms satisfying (A.1)–(A.4). Set $H = (U\otimes A)^{\sigma}$ and $\overline{H} = (\overline{U}\otimes\overline{A})^{\overline{\sigma}}$. Suppose that $f : U \longrightarrow \overline{U}$ and $g : A \longrightarrow \overline{A}$ are bialgebra maps such that $\overline{\tau}(f(u), g(a)) = \tau(u, a)$ for all $u \in U$ and $a \in A$. Then $f\otimes g : H \longrightarrow \overline{H}$ is a bialgebra map.

As a consequence $f : H \longrightarrow D(A)$ defined by $f(u\otimes a) = \tau_{\ell}(u)\otimes a$ for all $u \in U$ and $a \in A$ is a bialgebra map. In this paper we are interested in left modules over H. A good source is modules for the double in light of the map f.

2. Algebra Structures on the Vector Space $U\otimes A$, where U and A are algebras over k

Suppose that U and A are algebras over the field k. In this section we are interested in algebra structures $H = U\otimes A$ on the tensor product of their underlying vector spaces which satisfy

$$(u\otimes a)(u'\otimes a') = uu'\otimes aa' \qquad \text{whenever} \qquad a = 1 \text{ or } u' = 1. \tag{2.1}$$

For such an algebra the maps $U \longrightarrow H$ and $A \longrightarrow H$ given by $u \mapsto u\otimes 1$ and $a \mapsto 1\otimes a$ respectively are algebra maps. As a consequence H is a left U-module and a right A-module by pullback action; thus

$$u{\cdot}(u'\otimes a) = (u\otimes 1)(u'\otimes a) = uu'\otimes a$$

and

$$(u\otimes a){\cdot}a' = (u\otimes a)(1\otimes a') = u\otimes aa'$$

for all $u, u' \in U$ and $a, a' \in A$.

We list several examples of these algebras.

Example 2.1. Let U and A be algebras over the field k. Then the tensor product algebra structure on $H = U\otimes A$ satisfies (2.1).

Example 2.2. Let U and A be algebras over the field k and $H = U\otimes A$ be an algebra structure on the tensor product of their underlying vector spaces which satisfies (2.1). Endow the vector space $A^{op}\otimes U^{op} = A\otimes U$ with the unique algebra structure which makes the twist map $\tau_{A,U} : A\otimes U \longrightarrow (U\otimes A)^{op}$ an algebra isomorphism. This algebra $H^{\widetilde{op}} = A^{op}\otimes U^{op}$ satisfies (2.1).

Example 2.3. Let A be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra with antipode s over k and let $U = A^{*\,cop}$. As a vector space the Drinfeld double is $D(A) = U\otimes A$ and its product is determined by

$$(p\otimes a)(q\otimes b) = p(a_{(1)}{\cdot}q{\cdot}s^{-1}(a_{(3)}))\otimes a_{(2)}b$$

for all $p, q \in U$ and $a, b \in A$. Thus the underlying algebra structure of $D(A)$ satisfies (2.1).

The next example is the most important one for us. By virtue of Example 1.3 the preceding example is a special case of it.

Example 2.4. Let U and A be bialgebras over the field k and suppose that $\tau : U\otimes A \longrightarrow k$ is a convolution invertible map such (A.1)–(A.4) are satisfied. Then $H = (U\otimes A)^{\sigma}$ defined in Section 1 is a bialgebra whose underlying algebra structure satisfies (2.1).

Example 2.5. Let H be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode over k and suppose that $R \in {}_H^H\mathcal{Y}D$ is a bialgebra in the Yetter–Drinfeld category. Then the bi-product $A = R\#H$ satisfies (2.1). More generally smash products satisfy (2.1).

A basic reference for the bi-product is [13]. See [4] for a discussion of the Yetter–Drinfeld category ${}_H^H\mathcal{Y}D$ and bi-products.

Suppose that U, $\overline{U}$, A, and $\overline{A}$ are algebras over k and that the vector spaces $U\otimes A$ and $\overline{U}\otimes\overline{A}$ have algebra structures which satisfy (2.1). A morphism $F : U\otimes A \longrightarrow \overline{U}\otimes\overline{A}$ of these algebras is a map of algebras which satisfies $F(U\otimes 1) \subseteq \overline{U}\otimes 1$ and $F(1\otimes A) \subseteq 1\otimes\overline{A}$. By virtue of (2.1) a morphism $F : U\otimes A \longrightarrow \overline{U}\otimes\overline{A}$ has the form $F = f\otimes g$, where $f : U \longrightarrow \overline{U}$ and $g : A \longrightarrow \overline{A}$ are algebra maps. Conversely, if $f : U \longrightarrow \overline{U}$ and $g : A \longrightarrow \overline{A}$ are algebra maps, and the function $f\otimes g : U\otimes A \longrightarrow \overline{U}\otimes\overline{A}$ is an algebra map, then $F = f\otimes g$ is a morphism.

Suppose that U' is a subalgebra of U and A' is a subalgebra of A such that $U'\otimes A'$ is a subalgebra of $U\otimes A$. Then $U'\otimes A'$ satisfies (2.1) and the tensor product of the inclusion maps $i_{U'}\otimes i_{A'} : U'\otimes A' \longrightarrow U\otimes A$ is a morphism.

Now suppose I is an ideal of U, that J is an ideal of A, and $K = I\otimes A + U\otimes J$ is an ideal of $U\otimes A$. Let $\pi_I : U \longrightarrow U/I$ and $\pi_J : A \longrightarrow A/J$ be the projections. Endow $(U/I)\otimes(A/J)$ with the algebra structure which makes the linear isomorphism $(U\otimes A)/K \longrightarrow (U/I)\otimes(A/J)$ given by $u\otimes a + K \mapsto (u + I)\otimes(a + J)$ an isomorphism of algebras. Then $(U/I)\otimes(A/J)$ satisfies (2.1) and the tensor product of projections $\pi_I\otimes\pi_J : U\otimes A \longrightarrow (U/I)\otimes(A/J)$ is a morphism.

The constructions of the preceding paragraph can be combined to give a first isomorphism theorem for the morphisms of this section.

Let $F : U\otimes A \longrightarrow \overline{U}\otimes\overline{A}$ be a morphism and write $F = f\otimes g$, where $f : U \longrightarrow \overline{U}$ and $g : A \longrightarrow \overline{A}$ are algebra maps. Then $\operatorname{Im} f$ and $\operatorname{Im} g$ are subalgebras of U and A respectively and $\operatorname{Im} F = \operatorname{Im} f\otimes\operatorname{Im} g$ is a subalgebra of $\overline{U}\otimes\overline{A}$ which thus satisfies (2.1). Now Ker and $\operatorname{Ker} g$ are ideals of U and A respectively and $\operatorname{Ker} F = \operatorname{Ker} f\otimes A + U\otimes\operatorname{Ker} g$ is an ideal of $U\otimes A$. Identifying $(U\otimes A)/K$ and $(U/\operatorname{Ker} f)\otimes(A/\operatorname{Ker} g)$ as above, note there is a unique morphism

$$\overline{F} : (U/\operatorname{Ker} f)\otimes(A/\operatorname{Ker} g) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im} F = \operatorname{Im} f\otimes\operatorname{Im} g$$

such that $\overline{F}\circ(\pi_{\operatorname{Ker} f}\otimes\pi_{\operatorname{Ker} g}) = F$.

2.1. **The Construction of** $\mathrm{L}(\rho, \chi)$ **and** $R(\chi, \rho)$**.** Let U and A be algebras over k and $H = U\otimes A$ be an algebra structure on the tensor product of their underlying vector spaces which satisfies (2.1). We will identify U and A with their images under the algebra maps $U \longrightarrow U\otimes A$ and $A \longrightarrow U\otimes A$ given by $u \mapsto u\otimes 1$ and $a \mapsto 1\otimes a$ respectively. In this section we construct special representations of H by induction on one-dimensional representations of A and of U determined by pairs (ρ, χ), where ρ is a character of U and χ is a character of A.

Suppose that $\rho \in \operatorname{Alg}(U, k)$ and $\chi \in \operatorname{Alg}(A, k)$. We give k the left A-module structure $(k, \cdot_\chi)$ where

$$a{\cdot_\chi}1 = \chi(a)1 \qquad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

Recall that $H = U\otimes A$ is a right A-module via $(u\otimes a)\cdot a' = u\otimes aa'$ for all $u \in U$ and $a, a' \in A$. We identify the left H-module $H\otimes_A k$ and U by the linear isomorphism $H\otimes_A k \longrightarrow U$ given by $(u\otimes a)_A\otimes 1 \mapsto u\chi(a)$ for all $u \in U$ and $a \in A$ and denote the resulting right H-module structure on U by $(U, \cdot_\chi)$. We write U_χ for U with this module action implicitly understood. Note that

$$(u\otimes a){\cdot_\chi}u' = u\big((\mathrm{I}_U\otimes\chi)((1\otimes a)(u'\otimes 1))\big)\,, \tag{2.2}$$

and consequently

$$u{\cdot_\chi}u' = uu' \qquad \text{and} \qquad a{\cdot_\chi}1 = \chi(a)1, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $u, u' \in U$ and $a \in A$. Thus 1 generates U_χ as a left H-module and $k1$ is a one-dimensional left A-submodule of U_χ.

Let $I(\rho, \chi)$ be the sum of all the left H-submodules of U_χ contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\rho$, let

$$L(\rho, \chi) = U_\chi/I(\rho, \chi)$$

be the resulting quotient left H-module, and let $\pi_{(\rho,\chi)} : U_\chi \longrightarrow L(\rho, \chi)$ be the projection. Using (2.3) we see that $M = L(\rho, \chi)$ is a cyclic left H-module generated by $\pi_{(\rho,\chi)}(1)$, that $k\pi_{(\rho,\chi)}(1)$ is a one-dimensional left A-submodule of M, and that $N = \pi_{(\rho,\chi)}(\operatorname{Ker}\rho)$ is a codimension one left U-submodule of M with the property that the only left H-submodule of M contained in N is (0).

In a similar manner we define a right H-module structure on A. Regard k as the right U-module $(k, \cdot_\rho)$ where

$$1_\rho{\cdot}u = \rho(u)1 \qquad \text{for all } u \in U.$$

Recall that $H = U \otimes A$ is a left U-module via $u{\cdot}(u' \otimes a) = uu' \otimes a$ for all $u, u' \in U$ and $a \in A$. We identify the right H-module $k \otimes_U H$ and the vector space A by the linear isomorphism $k \otimes_U H \longrightarrow A$ given by $1 \otimes_U (u \otimes a) \mapsto \rho(u)a$ for all $u \in U$ and $a \in A$ and we denote the resulting module structure on A by $(A, \cdot_\rho)$. Observe that

$$a{\cdot}_\rho(u \otimes a') = \big((\rho \otimes \mathrm{I}_A)((1 \otimes a)(u \otimes 1))\big)a', \tag{2.4}$$

and thus

$$a{\cdot}_\rho a' = aa' \qquad \text{and} \qquad 1{\cdot}_\rho u = \rho(u)1, \tag{2.5}$$

for all $a, a' \in A$ and $u \in U$. As a consequence 1 generates A_ρ as a right H-module and $k1$ is a one-dimensional left U-submodule of A_ρ.

Let $J(\chi, \rho)$ be the sum of all the right H-submodules of A_ρ contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\chi$, let

$$R(\chi, \rho) = A_\rho / J(\chi, \rho)$$

be the quotient right H-module, and $\pi_{(\chi,\rho)} : A_\rho \longrightarrow R(\chi, \rho)$ be the projection. Using (2.5) we see that $M = R(\chi, \rho)$ is a cyclic right H-module generated by $\pi_{(\chi,\rho)}(1)$, that $k\pi_{(\chi,\rho)}(1)$ is a one-dimensional right U-submodule of M, and that $N = \pi_{(\chi,\rho)}(\operatorname{Ker}\chi)$ is a codimension one left A-submodule of M with the property that the only right H-submodule of M contained in N is (0).

2.2. **A Bilinear Form Arising from Character Pairs (ρ, χ).**

We continue with the notation and assumptions of the preceding section. Let $\chi \in \mathrm{Alg}(A, k)$, let $\rho \in \mathrm{Alg}\,(U, k)$, and let $\Psi : A \otimes U \longrightarrow k$ be the linear form defined by

$$\Psi(a, u) = (\rho \otimes \chi)\big((1 \otimes a)(u \otimes 1)\big)$$

for all $a \in A$ and $u \in U$. The $L(\rho, \chi)$ and $R(\chi, \rho)$ constructions of the preceding section are related in fundamental ways through this form.

Regard U^* as a right H-module with the transpose action determined by U_χ and likewise regard A^* as left H-module with the transpose action determined by A_ρ. Our notations for these actions are given in the equations

$$(u^*{\cdot}_\chi h)(u) = u^*(h{\cdot}_\chi u) \qquad \text{and} \qquad (h{\cdot}_\rho a^*)(a) = a^*(a{\cdot}_\rho h)$$

for all $u^* \in U^*$, $h \in H$, $u \in U$, $a^* \in A^*$, and $a \in A$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let U and A be algebras over the field k and let $H = U\otimes A$ be an algebra structure on the tensor product of their underlying vector spaces which satisfies (2.1). Let $\chi \in \mathrm{Alg}(A,k)$, let $\rho \in \mathrm{Alg}(U,k)$, and let $\Psi : A\otimes U \longrightarrow k$ be the bilinear form defined above. Then:*

a) *$\Psi(a,u) = \rho((1\otimes a)\cdot_{\chi} u) = \chi(a\cdot_{\rho}(u\otimes 1))$ for all $a \in A$ and $u \in U$.*

b) *$\Psi(a\cdot_{\rho} h, u) = \Psi(a, h\cdot_{\chi} u)$ for all $a \in A$, $h \in H$, and $u \in U$; that is Ψ is H-balanced.*

c) *$A^{\perp} I(\rho,\chi)$ and thus $U/A^{\perp} = L(\rho,\chi)$. Furthermore there is an isomorphism of left H-modules $L(\rho,\chi) \longrightarrow U\cdot_{\rho}\chi$ given by $u + I(\rho,\chi) \mapsto \Psi_r(u) = u\cdot_{\rho}\chi$ for all $u \in U$.*

d) *$U^{\perp} = J(\chi,\rho)$ and thus $A/U^{\perp} = R(\chi,\rho)$. Furthermore there is an isomorphism of right H-modules $R(\chi,\rho) \longrightarrow \rho\cdot_{\chi} A$ given by $a + J(\chi,\rho) \mapsto \Psi_{\ell}(a) = \rho\cdot_{\chi} a$ for all $a \in A$.*

e) *There is a non-singular H-balanced bilinear form*

$$\overline{\Psi} : R(\chi,\rho)\otimes L(\rho,\chi) \longrightarrow k$$

determined by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A\otimes U \arrow[rd, "\Psi"] \arrow[d] & \\
R(\chi,\rho)\otimes L(\rho,\chi) \arrow[r, "\overline{\Psi}"] & k
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrow is the tensor product of projections.

Proof: Part a) follows by the definition of the form and of the module actions. To show part b) we use several standard isomorphisms involving tensor products. Note that k is a left and right A-module via $a\cdot_{\chi} 1 = 1\cdot_{\chi} a = \chi(a)1$ for all $a \in A$ and k is also a left and right U-module via $u\cdot_{\rho} 1 = 1\cdot_{\rho} u = \rho(u)1$ for all $u \in U$. Consider the composites $f : A\otimes U \longrightarrow k\otimes_U(H\otimes_A k)$ and $g : k\otimes_U(H\otimes_A k) \longrightarrow k$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A\otimes U &\simeq (k\otimes_U H)\otimes(H\otimes_A k) \longrightarrow (k\otimes_U H)\otimes_H(H\otimes_A k) \\ &\simeq k\otimes_U(H\otimes_H(H\otimes_A k)) \simeq k\otimes_U(H\otimes_A k) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$k\otimes_U(H\otimes_A k) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{I}_k\otimes((\chi\otimes\rho)\otimes\mathrm{I}_k)} k\otimes_U(k\otimes_A k) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{I}_k\otimes m} k\otimes_U k \xrightarrow{m} k$$

respectively, where m is multiplication. Since

$$(g\circ f)(a\otimes u) = g(1\otimes_U((1\otimes a)(u\otimes 1)_A\otimes 1)) = (\rho\otimes\chi)((1\otimes a)(u\otimes 1))$$

for all $a \in A$ and $u \in U$ we conclude that $\Psi = g\circ f$. From the second isomorphism in the definition of f we see that $f((a\cdot_{\rho} h)\otimes u) = f(a\otimes(h\cdot_{\chi} u))$ for all $a \in A$ and $u \in U$. Part b) now follows.

We next show part c). Let $u \in U$. Since $\Psi_r(u)(1) = \rho(u)$ by part a) it follows that $\mathrm{Ker}\,\Psi_r \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\,\rho$. Now $\mathrm{Ker}\,\Psi_r$ is a left H-submodule of U_χ by part b). Suppose that L is a left H-submodule of U_χ and $L \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\,\rho$. Then by part a) again $\Psi(A, L) \subseteq \rho((1{\otimes}A){\cdot_\chi}L) \subseteq \rho(L) = (0)$. Therefore $L \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\,\Psi_r$. We have shown that $A^\perp = \mathrm{Ker}\,\Psi_r = I(\rho, \chi)$.

To complete the proof of part c) we note that $\Psi_r : U_\chi \longrightarrow A^*$ is a map of left H-modules by part b). Let $u \in U$. Since $\Psi_r(u) = \Psi_r(u{\cdot_\chi}1) = u{\cdot_\rho}\Psi_r(1) = u{\cdot_\rho}\chi$, the isomorphism of left H-modules

$$L(\rho, \chi) = U_\chi/A^\perp = U/\mathrm{Ker}\,\Psi_r \simeq U{\cdot_\rho}\chi$$

is given by $u + I(\rho, \chi) \mapsto u{\cdot_\rho}\chi$. We have established part c). The proof of part d) is similar. Part e) follows from parts b)–d). □

By virtue of part c) the quotient $L(\rho, \chi)$ can be realized as submodule.

2.3. **The Connection Between Morphisms of the Algebra Structures and the Constructions** $\mathrm{L}(\rho, \chi)$**,** $R(\chi, \rho)$**.** Let U, $\overline{U}$, A, and $\overline{A}$ be algebras over k such that $H = U{\otimes}A$ and $\overline{H} = \overline{U}{\otimes}\overline{A}$ are algebras which satisfy (2.1). Suppose that $F : U{\otimes}A \longrightarrow \overline{U}{\otimes}\overline{A}$ is a morphism and let $f : U \longrightarrow \overline{U}$ and $g : A \longrightarrow \overline{A}$ be the unique algebra maps which satisfy $F = f{\otimes}g$.

Now suppose that $\overline{\rho} \in \mathrm{Alg}(\overline{U}, k)$ and $\overline{\chi} \in \mathrm{Alg}(\overline{A}, k)$. Then $\rho = \overline{\rho}{\circ}f \in \mathrm{Alg}(U, k)$ and $\chi = \overline{\chi}{\circ}g \in \mathrm{Alg}(A, k)$. In this section we examine the connection between $L(\rho, \chi)$ and $L(\overline{\rho}, \overline{\chi})$ and also the relationship between $R(\chi, \rho)$ and $R(\overline{\rho}, \overline{\chi})$.

First we consider U_χ and $\overline{U}_{\overline{\chi}}$. Let $u, u' \in U$ and $a \in A$. By the calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
f((u{\otimes}a){\cdot_\chi}u') &= f(u\big((I_U{\otimes}\chi)((1{\otimes}a)(u'{\otimes}1))\big)) \\
&= f(u\big((I_U{\otimes}(\overline{\chi}{\circ}g))((1{\otimes}a)(u'{\otimes}1))\big)) \\
&= f(u)\big((I_U{\otimes}\overline{\chi})\,\big((f{\otimes}g)((1{\otimes}a)(u'{\otimes}1))\big)\big) \\
&= f(u)\big((I_U{\otimes}\overline{\chi})\,\big(F((1{\otimes}a)(u'{\otimes}1))\big)\big) \\
&= f(u)\big((I_U{\otimes}\overline{\chi})\,\big(F(1{\otimes}a)F(u'{\otimes}1)\big)\big) \\
&= f(u)\big((I_U{\otimes}\overline{\chi})\,\big((1{\otimes}f(a))(g(u'){\otimes}1)\big)\big) \\
&= F(u{\otimes}a){\cdot_{\overline{\chi}}}f(u')
\end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$f((u{\otimes}a){\cdot_\chi}u') = F(u{\otimes}a){\cdot_{\overline{\chi}}}f(u'). \tag{2.6}$$

Thus f is F-linear and the preceding equation has a simple interpretation in terms of module maps. Regard $\overline{U}_{\overline{\chi}}$ as a left H-module by pullback along F. Then $f : U_\chi \longrightarrow \overline{U}_{\overline{\chi}}$ is a map of left H-modules.

Since $\overline{\rho}{\circ}f = \rho$, we have $f(\operatorname{Ker}\rho) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}\overline{\rho}$. Thus $f(I(\rho,\chi)) \subseteq I(\overline{\rho},\overline{\chi})$ as f is F-linear. Consequently f gives rise to an F-linear map $L(f) : L(\rho,\chi) \longrightarrow L(\overline{\rho},\overline{\chi})$.

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose U, $\overline{U}$, A, and $\overline{A}$ are algebras over k. Let $H = U{\otimes}A$ and $\overline{H} = \overline{U}{\otimes}\overline{A}$ be algebra structures on the tensor product of underlying vector spaces which satisfy (2.1). Suppose further that $F : U{\otimes}A \longrightarrow \overline{U}{\otimes}\overline{A}$ is a morphism and let $f : U \longrightarrow \overline{U}$ and $g : A \longrightarrow \overline{A}$ be the unique algebra maps which satisfy $F = f{\otimes}g$. Then:*

a) *$f : U_\chi \longrightarrow \overline{U}_{\overline{\chi}}$ is F-linear.*
b) *There is a unique F-linear map $L(f) : L(\rho,\chi) \longrightarrow L(\overline{\rho},\overline{\chi})$ which makes the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L(\rho,\chi) \arrow[r, "L(f)"] & L(\overline{\rho},\overline{\chi}) \\
U_\chi \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "f"] & \overline{U}_{\overline{\chi}} \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute, where the vertical arrows are the projection maps.
c) *Suppose that f is onto. Then $L(f)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof: We have established parts a) and b) in the discussion preceding the statement of the proposition. It remains to show part c).

We first observe that $\overline{\rho}{\circ}f = \rho$ implies $\operatorname{Ker}\rho = f^{-1}(\operatorname{Ker}\overline{\rho})$. Therefore $f^{-1}(I(\overline{\rho},\overline{\chi}))$ is a left H-submodule of U_χ contained in $\operatorname{Ker}\rho$ which implies $f^{-1}(I(\overline{\rho},\overline{\chi})) \subseteq I(\rho,\chi)$. We have seen that $f(I(\rho,\chi)) \subseteq I(\overline{\rho},\overline{\chi})$ in any event. Therefore $f^{-1}(I(\overline{\rho},\overline{\chi})) = I(\rho,\chi)$ which implies that $L(f)$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Suppose that F is onto. Then the hypothesis of part c) is met. Regard $I(\overline{\rho},\overline{\chi})$ as a left H-module by pullback along F. Then the H-submodules and $\overline{H}$-submodules of $L(\overline{\rho},\overline{\chi})$ are the same and the H-module $L(\rho,\chi)$ is understood in terms of the left $\overline{H}$-module $L(\overline{\rho},\overline{\chi})$ and the algebra map F.

There is an analog of the preceding proposition for $R(\chi,\rho)$ and $R(\overline{\chi},\overline{\rho})$. One can show that

$$g(a{\cdot}_\rho(u{\otimes}a')) = g(a){\cdot}_{\overline{\rho}}F(u{\otimes}a') \tag{2.7}$$

for all $a, a' \in A$ and $u \in U$ by mimicking the calculation which establishes (2.6). By modifying the proof of the preceding proposition one can easily show:

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose U, $\overline{U}$, A, and $\overline{A}$ are algebras over k. Let $H = U \otimes A$ and $\overline{H} = \overline{U} \otimes \overline{A}$ be algebra structures on the tensor product of underlying vector spaces which satisfy (2.1). Suppose further that $F : U \otimes A \longrightarrow \overline{U} \otimes \overline{A}$ is a morphism and let $f : U \longrightarrow \overline{U}$ and $g : A \longrightarrow \overline{A}$ be algebra maps which satisfy $F = f \otimes g$. Then:*

a) *$g : A_\rho \longrightarrow \overline{A}_{\overline{\rho}}$ is F-linear.*
b) *There is a unique F-linear map $R(g) : R(\chi, \rho) \longrightarrow R(\overline{\chi}, \overline{\rho})$ which makes the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R(\chi, \rho) \arrow[r, "R(g)"] & R(\overline{\chi}, \overline{\rho}) \\
A_\rho \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "g"] & \overline{A}_{\overline{\rho}} \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute, where the vertical arrows are the projection maps.
c) *Suppose that g is onto. Then $R(g)$ is an isomorphism.*

□

We conclude this section by noting the relationship between the linear forms $\Psi : A \otimes U \longrightarrow k$ and $\overline{\Psi} : \overline{U} \otimes \overline{A} \longrightarrow k$ defined by

$$\Psi(a, u) = (\rho \otimes \chi)((1 \otimes a)(u \otimes 1)) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\Psi}(\overline{a}, \overline{u}) = (\overline{\rho} \otimes \overline{\chi})((1 \otimes \overline{a})(\overline{u} \otimes 1))$$

for all $a \in A$, $u \in U$, $\overline{a} \in \overline{A}$, and $\overline{u} \in \overline{U}$. Since $F = f \otimes g$ is an algebra map, the calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
(f \otimes g)((1 \otimes a)(u \otimes 1)) &= ((f \otimes g)(1 \otimes a))((f \otimes g)(u \otimes 1)) \\
&= (1 \otimes g(a))(f(u) \otimes 1)
\end{aligned}$$

shows that

$$\Psi(a, u) = \overline{\Psi}(g(a), f(u)) = \overline{\Psi}(F(a), F(u))$$

for all $a \in A$ and $u \in U$. In the last expression we regard $a, u \in H$ by the identifications $a = 1 \otimes a$ and $u = u \otimes 1$.

2.4. **The Categories ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_H$ and Duality.** Let $H = U \otimes A$ be an algebra structure defined on the tensor product of their underlying vector spaces and suppose that (2.1) holds for H. Let ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ be the category whose objects M are left H-modules which are generated by a one-dimensional left A-submodule km and have a codimension-one left U-submodule N with the property that (0) is the only left H-submodule of M contained in N. We take maps of left H-modules to be our morphisms. The category $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_H$ is defined in the same manner with "right" replacing "left" and with the roles of U and A reversed.

For M as described above observe that

$$\operatorname{ann}_A(km) = \operatorname{Ker}\chi \qquad \text{and} \qquad \operatorname{ann}_U(M/N) = \operatorname{Ker}\rho \tag{2.8}$$

for some characters $\chi \in \operatorname{Alg}(A, k)$ and $\rho \in \operatorname{Alg}(U, k)$. For any pair of characters $\chi \in \operatorname{Alg}(A, k)$ and $\rho \in \operatorname{Alg}(U, k)$ observe that $M = L(\rho, \chi)$ is an object of ${}_H\mathcal{M}$ which satisfies (2.8).

Conversely, suppose that M is an object of ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ which satisfies (2.8). Then the rule $H{\otimes}_A k \longrightarrow M$ given by $h{\otimes}_A 1 \mapsto h{\cdot}m$ is a well-defined map of left H-modules and the composite $f : U \simeq H{\otimes}_A k \longrightarrow M$, which is given by $f(u) = u{\cdot}m$ for all $u \in U$, has kernel $\mathrm{I}(\chi, \rho)$. Therefore f lifts to an isomorphism of left H-modules $L(\rho, \chi) \simeq M$. Observe that $f^{-1}(N) = \operatorname{Ker}\rho$. Therefore N is the only codimension one left U-submodule N' of M such that $\operatorname{ann}_U(M/N') = \operatorname{Ker}\rho$.

To discuss duality we need to specify a particular one-dimensional left A-submodule and a particular codimension-one U-submodule of each object M of ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$. Let ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}'$ be the category whose objects are triples (M, km, N), where M is a left H-module, km is a left A-submodule of M which generates M as a left H-module, and N is a codimension-one left U-submodule of M such that (0) is the only left H-submodule of M contained in N. A morphism $f : (M, km, N) \longrightarrow (M', km', N')$ of ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}'$ is a map of left H-modules which satisfies $f(km) \subseteq km'$ and $f(N) \subseteq N'$. We define a category $\underline{\mathcal{M}}'_H$ in the same manner replacing "left" by "right".

There is a natural contravariant functor ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}' \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{M}}'_H$. To describe it we start in a slightly more general context.

Consider a triple (M, km, N), where M is a cyclic left H-module generated by m, where N is a codimension one left U-submodule of M, and (2.8) is satisfied for some $\chi \in \operatorname{Alg}(A, k)$ and $\rho \in \operatorname{Alg}(U, k)$. Thus we are not requiring that the only left H-submodule of M contained in N is (0). We regard $M^* \in \mathcal{M}_H$ by the transpose action on $M \in {}_H\mathcal{M}$. Since N is a subspace of M of codimension one and $m \notin N$ there is a non-zero $m^\bullet \in M^*$ uniquely determined by $m^\bullet(N) = (0)$ and $m^\bullet(m) = 1$.

Consider the right H-submodule $M^\bullet = m^\bullet{\cdot}H$ of M^*. Now $\operatorname{Ker}\rho = \operatorname{ann}_U(M/N)$ implies that $(\operatorname{Ker}\rho){\cdot}M \subseteq N$. From the calculation

$$(m^\bullet{\cdot}(\operatorname{Ker}\rho))(M) = m^\bullet((\operatorname{Ker}\rho){\cdot}M) \subseteq m^\bullet(N) = (0)$$

we conclude that $m^\bullet{\cdot}(\operatorname{Ker}\rho) = (0)$. Therefore $m^\bullet{\cdot}u = \rho(u)m^\bullet$ for all $u \in U$. The calculation

$$(m^\perp{\cdot}A)(m) = m^\perp(A{\cdot}m) = m^\perp(km) = (0)$$

shows that $m^\perp{\cdot}A \subseteq m^\perp$. Therefore $N^\bullet = m^\perp \cap M^\bullet$ is a right A-submodule of $M^\bullet$. Since

$$(0) \neq m^\bullet(M) = m^\bullet(H{\cdot}m) = (m^\bullet{\cdot}H)(m) = M^\bullet(m)$$

it follows that $M^\bullet \not\subseteq m^\perp$. This means that the right A-submodule $N^\bullet$ is a codimension one subspace of $M^\bullet$. Since

$$(M^\bullet{\cdot}(\operatorname{Ker}\chi))(m) = M^\bullet((\operatorname{Ker}\chi){\cdot}m) = M^\bullet(0) = (0)$$

we conclude that $M^\bullet{\cdot}(\operatorname{Ker}\chi) \subseteq m^\perp \cap M^\bullet = N^\bullet$. We have shown that

$$\operatorname{ann}_U(km^\bullet) = \operatorname{Ker}\rho \qquad \text{and} \qquad \operatorname{ann}_A(M^\bullet/N^\bullet) = \operatorname{Ker}\chi. \tag{2.9}$$

We next show that the only right H-submodule of $M^\bullet$ contained in $N^\bullet$ is (0). Let L be a right H-submodule of $M^\bullet$ contained in $N^\bullet$. Then $L(M) = L(H{\cdot}m) = (L{\cdot}H)(m) \subseteq m^\perp(m) = (0)$ implies that $L = (0)$. We have shown that $(M^\bullet, km^\bullet, N^\bullet) \in \underline{\mathcal{M}}'_H$; in particular $M^\bullet \simeq R(\chi, \rho)$.

Consider the bilinear form $\beta : M^\bullet \otimes M \longrightarrow k$ given by $\beta(p, n) = p(n)$ for all $p \in M^\bullet$ and $n \in M$. Note that β is right non-singular. By definition of the transpose module action $\beta(p{\cdot}h, n) = \beta(p, h{\cdot}n)$ for all $p \in M^\bullet$, $h \in H$, and $n \in M$; that is β is H-balanced. We observe that $(M^\bullet)^\perp$ is the largest H-submodule of M contained in N. For let $n \in N$. Then $H{\cdot}n \subseteq N$ if and only if $(0) = m^\bullet(H{\cdot}n) = (m^\bullet{\cdot}H)(n) = M^\bullet(n)$; that is $H{\cdot}n \subseteq N$ if and only if $M^\bullet(n) = (0)$. Thus $M/(M^\bullet)^\perp \simeq L(\rho, \chi)$ and β induces an H-balanced bilinear form $\overline{\beta} : M^\bullet \otimes (M/(M^\bullet)^\perp) \longrightarrow k$. Compare with Proposition 2.6.

Proposition 2.9. *Let U and A be algebras over the field k, let $H = U \otimes A$ be an algebra structure on the tensor product of their underlying vector spaces which satisfies (2.1), and let $(M, km, N) \in {}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}'$.*

a) *Let $\chi \in \operatorname{Alg}(A, k)$ and $\rho \in \operatorname{Alg}(U, k)$ satisfy*

$$\operatorname{ann}_A(km) = \operatorname{Ker}\chi \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \operatorname{ann}_U(M/N) = \operatorname{Ker}\rho.$$

Then $(M^\bullet, km^\bullet, N^\bullet) \in \underline{\mathcal{M}}'_H$ and satisfies

$$\operatorname{ann}_U(km^\bullet) = \operatorname{Ker}\rho \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \operatorname{ann}_A(M^\bullet/N^\bullet) = \operatorname{Ker}\chi.$$

b) *Suppose that $f : (M, km, N) \longrightarrow (M', km', N')$ is a morphism in ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}'$. Then $f^*(M'^\bullet) \subseteq M^\bullet$ and the restriction $f^r = f^*|M'^\bullet$ is a morphism $f^r : (M'^\bullet, m'^\bullet, N'^\bullet) \longrightarrow (M^\bullet, m^\bullet, N^\bullet)$ in $\underline{\mathcal{M}}'_H$.*

c) *Suppose that $N^\bullet$ is the only codimension-one right A-submodule of $M^\bullet$. Then km is the only one-dimensional left A-submodule of M.*

PROOF: We have shown part a). Part b) is left as an easy exercise. We establish part c).

Suppose that $n \in M$ and $A{\cdot}n = kn$. Then $n^{\perp}{\cap}M^{\bullet} = M^{\bullet}$, in which case $M^{\bullet}(n) = (0)$, or $n^{\perp}{\cap}M^{\bullet}$ is a codimension one right A-submodule of $M^{\bullet}$, in which case $n^{\perp}{\cap}M^{\bullet} = m^{\perp}{\cap}M^{\bullet}$ by assumption. In any event $N^{\bullet}(n) = (0)$.

Let $C = \{n \in M \,|\, N^{\bullet}(n) = (0)\}$. Observe that $m \in C$. The rule $f : C \longrightarrow (M^{\bullet}/N^{\bullet})^{*}$ given by $f(n)(p + N^{\bullet}) = p(n)$ is describes a well-defined linear function. We show that f is one-one. Suppose that $n \in C$ and $f(n) = 0$. Then

$$(0) = f(n)(M^{\bullet}) = M^{\bullet}(n) = (m^{\bullet}{\cdot}H)(n) = m^{\bullet}(H{\cdot}n)$$

implies that $H{\cdot}n \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} m^{\bullet} = N^{\bullet}$. But $N^{\bullet}$ contains no left H-submodules other than (0). Therefore $n = 0$. We have shown that f is one-one.

Since $m \in C$, f is one-one, and $\operatorname{Im} f$ is at most one-dimensional, it follows that $C = km$. This concludes our proof. □

The "right" counterpart of the preceding proposition holds by virtue of Example 2.2.

3. THE MAIN RESULTS FOR ${}_{H}\underline{\mathcal{M}}$

We begin by describing the type of algebra of fundamental importance in [15]. These algebras A (and U also) are generated by a subgroup Γ of the group of units of A and a indexed set of elements $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$. There is an indexed set of characters $\{\chi_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \widehat{\Gamma}$ such that $g a_i g^{-1} = \chi_i(g) a_i$ for all $g \in \Gamma$ and $i \in I$. Let A' be the subalgebra of A generated by Γ.

Suppose that $\chi_i \neq 1$ for all $i \in I$. Then $\rho(a_i) = 0$ for all $\rho \in \operatorname{Alg}(A, k)$ and $i \in I$. Thus the restriction map $\operatorname{Alg}(A, k) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Alg}(A', k)$ is one-one. In important applications U and A below will have this description and thus the restriction map is one-one.

The theorem of this section is derived from two results.

Lemma 3.1. *Let U and A be algebras over the field k and let $H = U{\otimes}A$ be an algebra structure on the tensor product of the underlying vector spaces of U and A which satisfies (2.1). Suppose that U' is a subalgebra of U such that:*

a) *The restriction map $\operatorname{Alg}(U, k) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Alg}(U', k)$ is one-one*
b) *and $(u{\otimes}a){\cdot}_{\chi}u' = \chi(a)uu'$ for all $u \in U$, $a \in A$, $\chi \in \operatorname{Alg}(A, k)$, and $u' \in U'$.*

Let $\rho, \rho' \in \operatorname{Alg}(U, k)$ and $\chi, \chi' \in \operatorname{Alg}(A, k)$. If $L(\rho, \chi) \simeq L(\rho', \chi')$ as left U-modules then $\rho = \rho'$. In particular there is a unique codimension one left U-module of $L(\rho, \chi)$ which contains $I(\rho, \chi)$.

Proof: Suppose that $L(\rho,\chi)\simeq L(\rho',\chi')$ as left U-modules and consider the composite of left U-modules $f: U\longrightarrow L(\rho,\chi)\simeq L(\rho',\chi')=M$. Since the latter contains a codimension one left U-submodule N with $\mathrm{ann}_U(M/N)=\mathrm{Ker}\,\rho'$, it follows that $I(\rho,\chi)\subseteq f^{-1}(N)=\mathrm{Ker}\,\rho'$. We have shown that $I(\rho,\chi)\subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\rho'$. The calculation $\rho((u{\otimes}a){\cdot}_\chi u')=\rho(\chi(a)uu')=\chi(a)\rho(u)\rho(u')$ for all $u\in U$, $a\in A$, and $u'\in U'$ shows that $H{\cdot}_\chi(\mathrm{Ker}\,\rho{\cap}U')\subseteq\mathrm{Ker}\rho$. Therefore

$$(\mathrm{Ker}\,\rho){\cap}U'\subseteq I(\rho,\chi)\subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\rho'$$

which implies that $(\mathrm{Ker}\,\rho){\cap}U'=(\mathrm{Ker}\,\rho'){\cap}U'$ since both intersections are codimension one subspaces of U'. The preceding equation implies $\rho|U'=\rho'|U'$ from which $\rho=\rho'$ follows by assumption. The last statement in the conclusion of the lemma is evident. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let U and A be algebras over the field k and let $H=U{\otimes}A$ be an algebra structure on the tensor product of the underlying vector spaces of U and A which satisfies (2.1). Suppose that A' is a subalgebra of A such that:*

a) *The restriction map* $\mathrm{Alg}(A,k)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Alg}(A',k)$ *is one-one and*
b) $a'{\cdot}_\rho(u{\otimes}a)=\rho(u)a'a$ *for all* $a'\in A'$, $\rho\in\mathrm{Alg}(U,k)$, $u\in U$, *and* $a\in A$.

Let $\rho\in\mathrm{Alg}(U,k)$ and $\chi,\chi'\in\mathrm{Alg}\,(A,k)$. If $L(\rho,\chi)\simeq L(\rho,\chi')$ as left H-modules then $\chi=\chi'$.

Proof: Regard k as a left U-module via $u{\cdot}1=\rho(u)$ for all $u\in U$. Consider the composite $\rho': U_\chi\longrightarrow k$ of left U-module maps given by $U_\chi\longrightarrow L(\rho,\chi)\xrightarrow{f}L(\rho,\chi')\xrightarrow{\overline{\rho}}k$, where the first map is the projection, f is an isomorphism of left H-modules, and the third $\overline{\rho}$ is the map is given by $u+I(\rho,\chi')\mapsto\rho(u)$ for all $u\in U$. Since ρ' is a left U-module map we have $\rho'(u)=\rho'(u1)=u{\cdot}\rho'(1)=\rho(u)\rho'(1)$. Therefore $\rho'=\rho'(1)\rho$.

Let $u\in U$ satisfy $f(1+I(\rho,\chi))=u+I(\rho,\chi')$ and let $a\in A$. Using the definition of ρ', the fact that f is a map of left A-modules, and part a) of Proposition 2.6, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\rho'((1{\otimes}a){\cdot}_\chi(1+I(\rho,\chi)) &= \rho(f((1{\otimes}a){\cdot}_\chi(1+I(\rho,\chi)))\\ &= \overline{\rho}((1{\otimes}a){\cdot}_{\chi'}f(1+I(\rho,\chi)))\\ &= \overline{\rho}((1{\otimes}a){\cdot}_{\chi'}(u+I(\rho,\chi')))\\ &= \rho((1{\otimes}a){\cdot}_{\chi'}u)\\ &= \chi'(a{\cdot}_\rho(u{\otimes}1)).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho' = \rho'(1)\rho$ we calculate on the other hand that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho'((1{\otimes}a){\cdot}_{\chi}(1+I(\rho,\chi)) &= \rho'(1)\rho((1{\otimes}a){\cdot}_{\chi}(1+I(\rho,\chi)) \\ &= \rho'(1)\rho((1{\otimes}a){\cdot}_{\chi}1) \\ &= \rho'(1)\chi(a). \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that $\chi'(a{\cdot}_{\rho}(u{\otimes}1)) = \rho'(1)\chi(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Now suppose that $a' \in A'$. By virtue of the preceding equation

$$\chi'(a')\rho(u) = \chi'(a'\rho(u)\chi'(1)) = \chi'(a'{\cdot}_{\rho}(u{\otimes}1)) = \rho'(1)\chi(a');$$

the second equation follows by assumption. Therefore $\rho'(u) = 1$ and $\chi(a') = \chi'(a')$ for all $a' \in A'$. By assumption $\chi = \chi'$. $\square$

For a category $\mathcal{M}$ we denote the isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathcal{M}$ by $[\mathcal{M}]$ and for an object $M \in \mathcal{M}$ we let $[M]$ be the isomorphism class of M. As a consequence of the two preceding lemmas:

Theorem 3.3. *Let U and A be algebras over the field k and let $H = U{\otimes}A$ be an algebra structure on the tensor product of the underlying vector spaces of U and A which satisfies (2.1). Suppose that:*

a) *U' is a subalgebra of U, $(u{\otimes}a){\cdot}_{\chi}u' = \chi(a)uu'$ for all $u \in U$, $a \in A$, $\chi \in \mathrm{Alg}(A,k)$, and $u' \in U'$, and the restriction map $\mathrm{Alg}(U,k) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Alg}(U',k)$ is one-one;*
b) *A' is a subalgebra of A, $a'{\cdot}_{\rho}(u{\otimes}a) = \rho(u)a'a$ for all $a' \in A'$, $\rho \in \mathrm{Alg}(U,k)$, $u \in U$, and $a \in A$, and the restriction map $\mathrm{Alg}(A,k) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Alg}(A',k)$ is one-one.*

Then $\mathrm{Alg}(U,k){\times}\,\mathrm{Alg}(A,k) \longrightarrow [{}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}]$ given by $(\rho,\chi) \mapsto [L(\rho,\chi)]$ is bijective. $\square$

Observe that the hypothesis of the theorem holds for $H^{\widetilde{op}}$ as well, where A'^{op} in $H^{\widetilde{op}}$ plays the role of U' in H and U'^{op} in $H^{\widetilde{op}}$ plays the role of A' in H. Therefore:

Corollary 3.4. *Under the hypothesis of the preceding theorem, the function $\mathrm{Alg}(A,k){\times}\,\mathrm{Alg}(U,k) \longrightarrow [\underline{\mathcal{M}}_H]$ given by $(\chi,\rho) \mapsto [R(\chi,\rho)]$ is bijective.* $\square$

The theorem has interesting consequences for objects of the category ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$.

Corollary 3.5. *Let U and A be algebras over the field k and let $H = U{\otimes}A$ be an algebra structure on the tensor product of the underlying vector spaces of U and A which satisfies (2.1). Assume that the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3 holds. Then:*

a) *Every object of* ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ *has a unique one-dimensional* A*-submodule and a unique codimension one* U*-submodule.*

b) *Suppose that* $f : M \longrightarrow M'$ *is a left* H*-module map, where* M *and* M' *are objects of* ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$*. Then* $f = 0$ *or* f *is an isomorphism.*

Proof: We first show part a). We have noted that the hypothesis of the theorem apples to $H^{\tilde{op}}$. In light of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 we need only show that the object $M \in \underline{\mathcal{M}}$ has a 1-dimensional A-submodule. Let $M \in {}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ and let $(M, km, N) \in {}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ be derived from M. Let $\rho \in \mathrm{Alg}(U, k)$ and $\chi \in \mathrm{Alg}(A, k)$ satisfy (2.8). Regard the right H-module $M^\bullet$ as a left $H^{\tilde{op}}$-module by pullback along the algebra map $H^{\tilde{op}} \longrightarrow H^{op}$ given by $a \otimes u \mapsto u \otimes a$ for all $a \in A$ and $u \in U$. Then $M^\bullet \simeq L^{\tilde{op}}(\chi, \rho)$ as left $H^{\tilde{op}}$-modules, where $L^{\tilde{op}}(\chi, \rho)$ is the counterpart of $L(\rho, \chi)$ for H. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 there is only one codimension left $H^{\tilde{op}}$-module, or equivalently right H-module, in $M^\bullet$. Therefore M has a unique one-dimensional left A-module by part c) of Proposition 2.9.

Part b) follows from part a). We first note that since f is a map of left H-modules it is also a map of left A-modules and left U-modules.

Let km be a one-dimensional left A-submodule of M which generates M as a left H-module. Since f is a map of left H-modules $f(M) = f(H{\cdot}m) = H{\cdot}f(m)$. Since f is a map of left A-modules $kf(m)$ is a left A-submodule of M'.

Suppose that $f \neq 0$. Then $f(m) \neq 0$. Therefore $kf(m)$ is a one-dimensional left A-submodule of M'. Now M' is generated as a left H-module by some one-dimensional left A-submodule of M'. By uniqueness this submodule must be $kf(m)$. Thus f is onto. It remains to show that f is one-one.

Now M' contains a codimension one left U-submodule N'. Since f is onto and a map of left U-modules $f^{-1}(N')$ is a codimension one left U-submodule of M. Now M has a codimension one left U-submodule which contains no left H-submodule of M other than (0). By uniqueness this submodule must be $f^{-1}(N')$. Since $\operatorname{Ker} f \subseteq f^{-1}(N')$ and is an H-submodule of M it follows that $\operatorname{Ker} f = 0$. We have shown that f is one-one. □

For an algebra A we denote the set of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible left A-modules by $\mathrm{Irr}(A)$. As a result of the preceding corollary:

Corollary 3.6. *Let* U *and* A *be algebras over the field* k *and let* $H = U \otimes A$ *be an algebra structure on the tensor product of the underlying vector spaces of* U *and* A *which satisfies (2.1). Assume that* *the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3 holds and also that the irreducible left* U*-modules and irreducible left* A*-modules are one-dimensional. Then:*

a) *The finite-dimensional irreducible left* H*-modules are the same as the finite-dimensional objects of* ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$*.*

b) *Suppose that* U *and* A *are finite-dimensional. Then the function* $\mathrm{Alg}(U,k)\times\mathrm{Alg}(A,k)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Irr}(H)$ *given by* $(\chi,\rho)\mapsto[L(\rho,\chi)]$ *is bijective.*

□

4. When U and A are Bialgebras

Let U and A be bialgebras over the field k, suppose $\tau: U\otimes A\longrightarrow k$ is convolution invertible and satisfies (A.1)–(A.4), and let $H=(U\otimes A)^\sigma$. In this section we apply the major ideas of the preceding section to H.

Suppose that $\rho\in G(U^o)=\mathrm{Alg}(U,k)$ and $\chi\in G(A^o)=\mathrm{Alg}(A,k)$. Using (1.1) we see that (2.2) in this case is

$$(u\otimes a)\cdot_\chi u' = u\tau(u'_{(1)},a_{(1)})u'_{(2)}\chi(a_{(2)})\tau^{-1}(u'_{(3)},a_{(3)}) \tag{4.1}$$

for all $u,u'\in U$ and $a\in A$ and (2.4) in this case is

$$a\cdot_\rho(u\otimes a') = \tau(u_{(1)},a_{(1)})\rho(u_{(2)})a_{(2)}\tau^{-1}(u_{(3)},a_{(3)})a' \tag{4.2}$$

for all $a,a'\in A$ and $u\in U$. Observe that

$$\Psi=(\tau(\rho\otimes\chi)\tau^{-1})\circ\tau_{A,U} \tag{4.3}$$

is just conjugation of $\rho\otimes\chi$ by τ in the dual algebra $(U\otimes A)^*$ preceded by the twist map.

Now let $U'=kG(U)$, $A'=kG(A)$, and suppose that $G(U^o)$ and $G(A^o)$ are commutative groups. Using (4.1) we see for $u\in U$, $a\in A$, $\chi\in\mathrm{Alg}(A,k)$, and $u'\in G(U)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}(u\otimes a)\cdot_\chi u' &= u\tau(u',a_{(1)})u'\chi(a_{(2)})\tau^{-1}(u',a_{(3)})\\ &= u\left((\tau_\ell(u')\chi\tau_\ell(u')^{-1})(a)\right)u'\\ &= uu'\chi(a)\end{aligned}$$

and therefore $(u\otimes a)\cdot_\chi u'=uu'\chi(a)$ for all $u\in U, a\in A$ and $u'\in U'$. Likewise using (4.2) it follows that $a'\cdot_\rho(u\otimes a)=a'a\rho(u)$ for all $a'\in A'$, $\rho\in\mathrm{Alg}(U,k)$, $u\in U$, and $a\in A$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* U *and* A *be bialgebras over the field* k*, suppose* $\tau: U\otimes A\longrightarrow k$ *is convolution invertible and satisfies (A.1)–(A.4), and let* $H=(U\otimes A)^\sigma$*. Suppose that all* $\rho\in\mathrm{Alg}(U,k)$*,* $\chi\in\mathrm{Alg}(A,k)$ *are determined by their respective restrictions* $\rho|G(U)$*,* $\chi|G(A)$*. Then* $\mathrm{Alg}(U,k)$*,* $\mathrm{Alg}(A,k)$ *are abelian groups and:*

a) $\mathrm{Alg}(U,k)\times \mathrm{Alg}(A,k) \longrightarrow [{}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}]$ *given by* $(\rho,\chi)\mapsto [L(\rho,\chi)]$ *is bijective.*

b) *Every object of* ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$ *has a unique one-dimensional* A*-submodule and a unique codimension one* U*-submodule.*

c) *Suppose that the finite-dimensional irreducible left* U*-modules and the finite-dimensional irreducible left* A*-modules are one-dimensional. Then the finite-dimensional irreducible left* H*-modules are the finite-dimensional objects of* ${}_H\underline{\mathcal{M}}$*.*

PROOF: The hypothesis of Theorem 3.3 holds for H with $U' = kG(U)$ and $A' = kG(A)$. Thus part a) follows. Part b) is part a) of Corollary 3.5 and part c) is part a) of Corollary 3.6. $\square$

We will show that the preceding theorem applies to a wide class of pointed Hopf algebras. First we recall a basic Hopf module construction.

Let A be a Hopf algebra with sub-Hopf algebra B. Suppose that D, C are subcoalgebras of A which satisfy $D \subseteq C$, $BD \subseteq D$, $BC \subseteq C$, and $\Delta(C) \subseteq B\otimes C + C\otimes D$. Set $M = C/D$ and write $\overline{c} = c+C$ for all $c \in C$. Then M is a left B-Hopf module, where $b{\cdot}\overline{c} = \overline{bc}$ and $\rho(\overline{c}) = c_{(1)}\otimes\overline{c_{(2)}}$ for all $c \in C$. By the Fundamental Theorem for Hopf modules [16, Theorem 4.1.1] it follows that M is (0) or a free left B-module with basis any linear basis of $M^{\mathrm{co}B} = \{\overline{c} \mid \rho(\overline{c}) = 1\otimes\overline{c}\}$.

Now suppose that $B = A_0$ is a sub-Hopf algebra of A and let $n > 0$. Then $C = A_n$ and $D = A_{n-1}$ satisfy the conditions of the preceding paragraph. Therefore A_n/A_{n-1} is (0) or a free left B-module. As a consequence A_n is a free left B-module for all $n \geq 0$; thus A is a free left B-module.

Any two bases for a free module over a Hopf algebra B have the same cardinality, and thus rank of the free module is well-defined, since Hopf algebras are augmented algebras. For the same reason, if M is a free left B-submodule of a free left B-module N then $\operatorname{rank} M \leq \operatorname{rank} N$.

Suppose that a is a skew primitive element of A. We say that a is of *finite type* if the sub-Hopf algebra of A generated by $A_0\cup\{a\}$ is a free left A_0-module of finite rank.

Corollary 4.2. *Let* U *and* A *be pointed Hopf algebras over and algebraically closed field* k *of characteristic zero and suppose* $\tau : U\otimes A \longrightarrow k$ *is convolution invertible and satisfies (A.1)–(A.4). Suppose further that* U *and* A *are generated by skew primitives of finite rank and have commutative coradicals. Then the conclusions of the preceding theorem hold for* $H = (U\otimes A)^\sigma$*.*

Proof: We need only show that all $\rho \in G(U^o)$, $\chi \in G(A^o)$ are determined by their respective restrictions $\rho|G(U)$, $\chi|G(A)$. We give an argument for A which is automatically an argument for U also. To this end we need only show that there is an indexed set of skew primitive elements $\{a_i\}_{i\in I}$, which together with Γ generate A as an algebra, and there is an indexed set of non-trivial characters $\{\chi\}_{i\in I}$ such that $ha_ih^{-1} = \chi_i(h)a_i$ for all $h \in \Gamma$ and $i \in I$. See the opening commentary for Section 3.

Let $B = A_0$. Let $\Gamma = G(A)$. Since A_0 is cocommutative and k is algebraically closed it follows that $B = k\Gamma$. Since B is commutative Γ is a commutative group.

Suppose that $a \in A$ is a skew primitive element of finite rank. We may assume that $\Delta(a) = a\otimes g + 1\otimes a$ for some $g \in \Gamma$ and that $a \notin B$. Let E be the sub-Hopf algebra of A generated by $B\cup\{a\}$. Then E is a free left B-module of finite rank by assumption.

Let $V = \{v \in E \mid \Delta(v) = v\otimes g + 1\otimes v\}$. Then $C = BVB$ is a left B-module, a subcoalgebra of E, and $\Delta(C) \subseteq C\otimes B + B\otimes C$. Thus $M = C/B$ is a left B-Hopf module. Since $1 + \operatorname{rank} M = \operatorname{rank} C \leq \operatorname{rank} E$, and the latter is finite, it follows that $\operatorname{rank} M$ is finite. Now $\overline{V} \subseteq M^{\mathrm{co}B}$. Thus $\operatorname{Dim} \overline{V} \leq \operatorname{rank} M$ is finite. Since $V\cap B = k(g-1)$ we conclude that V is a finite-dimensional vector space.

Let $h \in \Gamma$. Since Γ is commutative and E is a subalgebra of A which contains h it follows that $hVh^{-1} \subseteq V$. By assumption $V \neq (0)$. Since V is finite-dimensional and k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, there is a basis $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ for V consisting of common eigenvectors for the conjugation action by Γ. Therefore there are characters $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ such that $hv_ih^{-1} = \chi_i(h)v_i$ for all $h \in \Gamma$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Fix $1 \leq i \leq n$. Observe that v_i and Γ generate sub-Hopf algebra of A of finite rank. By calculations found in [11, Section 3] it follows that $\chi_i(g) = 1$ implies that $v_i \in B$. Since a is in the span of the v_i's, it is clear how to form the families $\{a_i\}_{i\in I}$ and $\{\chi_i\}_{i\in I}$ which satisfy the conditions outlined at the beginning of the proof. $\square$

For later use we note:

Lemma 4.3. *Let U and A be bialgebras over the field k and assume that $G(U^0)$ is abelian. Suppose $\tau : U\otimes A \longrightarrow k$ is convolution invertible and satisfies (A.1)–(A.4), and let $H = (U\otimes A)^\sigma$. Let $u \in U$ and $g \in G(A)$ and assume that $u \otimes g$ is central in H. Then for all $\rho \in \mathrm{Alg}(U, k)$ and $\chi \in \mathrm{Alg}(A, k)$ the following are equivalent:*

a) *$u \otimes g - 1 \otimes 1$ acts as zero on $L(\rho, \chi)$.*

b) *$\rho(u)\chi(g) = 1$.*

Proof: Part a) implies

$$(u\otimes g - 1\otimes 1)\cdot_\chi v = uv_{(2)}\tau(v_{(1)},g)\chi(g)\tau^{-1}(v_{(3)},g) \in I(\rho,\chi) \tag{4.4}$$

for all $v\in U$. If $v=1$ then (4.4) implies $u\chi(g)-1\in I(\rho,\chi)$, hence $\rho(u\chi(g)-1)=0$ or equivalently $\rho(u)\chi(g)=1$. Thus part a) implies part b).

Conversely, assume part b). Since $u\otimes g$ is central in H, the k-span of $(u\otimes g-1\otimes 1)\cdot_\chi v, v\in U$, is an H-submodule of U_χ. Moreover, for any $v\in U$,

$$\tau(v_{(1)},g)\rho(v_{(2)})\tau^{-1}(v_{(3)},g)=\rho(v),$$

since $\tau_r(g)\in \mathrm{Alg}(U,k)$ and $G(U^0)=\mathrm{Alg}(U,k)$ is abelian. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\rho((u\otimes g)\cdot_\chi v) &= \rho(uv_{(2)}\tau(v_{(1)},g)\chi(g)\tau^{-1}(v_{(3)},g))\\ &= \rho(u)\chi(g)\rho(v)\\ &= \rho(v)\end{aligned}$$

by b). This proves (4.4) by definition of $I(\rho,\chi)$. □

In connection with Propositions 2.7 and 2.8 we will be interested in bialgebra maps of bialgebras of the type $(U\otimes A)^\sigma$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let U, $\overline{U}$, A, and $\overline{A}$ be bialgebras over k, suppose $\tau : U\otimes A\longrightarrow k$ and $\overline{\tau} : \overline{U}\otimes\overline{A}\longrightarrow k$ satisfy (A.1)–(A.4), and suppose that $f : U\longrightarrow \overline{U}$ and $g : A\longrightarrow\overline{A}$ are bialgebra maps which satisfy $\overline{\tau}\circ(f\otimes g)=\tau$. Then $f\otimes g : (U\otimes A)^\sigma\longrightarrow(\overline{U}\otimes\overline{A})^{\overline{\sigma}}$ is a bialgebra map.*

Proof: Since f and g are coalgebra maps $f\otimes g : U\otimes A\longrightarrow\overline{U}\otimes\overline{A}$ is a coalgebra map of the tensor product of coalgebras. As the underlying coalgebra structures of $(U\otimes A)^\sigma$ and $(\overline{U}\otimes\overline{A})^{\overline{\sigma}}$ are $U\otimes A$ and $\overline{U}\otimes\overline{A}$ respectively, it follows that $f\otimes g : (U\otimes A)^\sigma\longrightarrow(\overline{U}\otimes\overline{A})^{\overline{\sigma}}$ is a coalgebra map. Since $(f\otimes g)^* : (\overline{U}\otimes\overline{A})^*\longrightarrow(U\otimes A)^*$ is an algebra map $\overline{\tau}^{-1}=((f\otimes g)^*(\tau))^{-1}=(f\otimes g)^*(\tau^{-1})=\tau^{-1}\circ(f\otimes g)$. At this point is it easy to see that $f\otimes g : (U\otimes A)^\sigma\longrightarrow(\overline{U}\otimes\overline{A})^{\overline{\sigma}}$ is an algebra map. □

Suppose that U and A are bialgebras over k and $\tau : U\otimes A\longrightarrow k$ is a linear form which satisfies (A.1)–(A.4). Then τ is convolution invertible by Lemma 1.2 if U or A^{op} is a Hopf algebra, in particular if A is a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode.

Suppose in addition that A has bijective antipode, set $H=(U\otimes A)^\sigma$, and let $f : H\longrightarrow D(A)$ be the bialgebra map defined at the end of Section 1 by $f(u\otimes a)=\tau_\ell(u)\otimes a$ for all $u\in U$ and $a\in A$. Let $\rho\in G(U^o)$ and $\chi\in G(A^o)$. We will examine $L(\rho,\chi)$ in the context of part c) of Proposition 2.6 and find a condition for there to be a left $D(A)$-module such that pullback along f explains $L(\rho,\chi)$. To understand modules for $D(A)$ we need to review a variant of the Yetter–Drinfeld category discussed in many places; in particular in [4].

Let B be any bialgebra over k and let ${}_{B}\mathcal{Y}D^{B}$ be the category whose objects are triples $(M, \cdot, \delta)$, where $(M, \cdot)$ is a left B-module and (M, δ) is a right B-comodule which are compatible in the sense

$$b_{(1)}{\cdot}m_{(0)}{\otimes}b_{(2)}m_{(1)} = (b_{(2)}{\cdot}m)_{(0)}{\otimes}(b_{(2)}{\cdot}m)_{(1)}b_{(1)} \tag{4.5}$$

for all $b \in B$ and $m \in M$, and whose morphisms are maps of left B-modules and right B-comodules. We observe that when B^{op} has antipode T then (4.5) is equivalent to

$$\delta(b{\cdot}m) = b_{(2)}{\cdot}m_{(0)}{\otimes}b_{(3)}m_{(1)}T(b_{(1)}) \tag{4.6}$$

for all $b \in B$ and $m \in M$. An example, which is the centerpiece of [12] in the study of simple modules for the double, is the following [12, Lemma 2]:

Example 4.5. Let B be a bialgebra over k, suppose that B^{op} is a Hopf algebra with antipode T, and let $\beta \in G(B^{o})$. Then $(B, \succ_{\beta}, \Delta) \in {}_{B}\mathcal{Y}D^{B}$, where

$$b{\succ_{\beta}}m = (b_{(2)}{\leftharpoonup}\beta)mT(b_{(1)})$$

for all $b, m \in B$.

The map $b \mapsto b{\leftharpoonup}\beta$ of the example is an algebra automorphism of B. Thus the module $(B, \succ_{\beta})$ can be regarded as a generalized adjoint action.

Now suppose that B is a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode S and let $(M, \cdot, \delta) \in {}_{B}\mathcal{Y}D^{B}$. Then $(M, \bullet) \in {}_{D(B)}\mathcal{M}$ where

$$(p{\otimes}b){\bullet}m = p{\rightharpoonup}(b{\cdot}m) = (b{\cdot}m)_{(0)}{<}p, (b{\cdot}m)_{(1)}{>}$$

for all $p \in B^{o}$, $b \in B$, and $m \in M$. When B is finite-dimensional the preceding equation describes the essence of a categorical isomorphism of ${}_{D(B)}\mathcal{M}$ and ${}_{B}\mathcal{Y}D^{B}$. See the primary reference [9] as well as [7, Proposition 3.5.1].

It is also interesting to note that ${}_{B^{o}}\mathcal{Y}D^{B^{o}}$ also accounts for left modules for $D(B)$. For suppose that $(M, \cdot, \delta) \in {}_{B^{o}}\mathcal{Y}D^{B^{o}}$ and let $i_{B} : B \longrightarrow (B^{o})^{*}$ be the algebra map defined by $i_{B}(b)(p) = p(b)$ for all $b \in B$ and $p \in B^{o}$. Set $i = i_{B}$. Then $(M, \bullet) \in {}_{D(B)}\mathcal{M}$, where

$$(p{\otimes}b){\bullet}m = p{\cdot}(i(b){\rightharpoonup}m) = p{\cdot}m_{(0)}{<}m_{(1)}, b{>}$$

for all $p \in B^{o}$, $b \in B$, and $m \in M$. Observe that the action on $(M, \bullet)$ restricted to B is locally finite. The preceding equation describes the essence of a categorical isomorphism between the full subcategory of ${}_{D(B)}\mathcal{M}$ whose objects are locally finite as left B-modules and ${}_{B^{o}}\mathcal{Y}D^{B^{o}}$.

Thus when B is finite-dimensional there is a categorical isomorphism of ${}_{D(B)}\mathcal{M}$ and ${}_{B^o}\mathcal{YD}^{B^o}$. It is the Yetter–Drinfeld category ${}_{B^o}\mathcal{YD}^{B^o}$ which is most appropriate here.

Using Lemma 1.2 and (4.3) we have

$$\Psi_r(u) = \tau_\ell(\rho\rightharpoonup u_{(1)})\chi S^{-1}(\tau_\ell(u_{(2)})) = \tau_\ell(u_{(1)})\chi S^{-1}(\tau_\ell(u_{(2)})\leftharpoonup\rho) \tag{4.7}$$

for all $u \in U$.

Regard A^* as a left H-module with the transpose action arising from the right H-module structure $(A, \cdot_\rho)$. By part c) of Proposition 2.6 there is an isomorphism of left H-modules $F : L(\rho, \chi) \longrightarrow U{\cdot_\rho}\chi \subseteq A^*$ given by $F(\overline{u}) = \Psi_r(u) = u{\cdot_\rho}\chi$ for all $u \in U$, where $\overline{u} = u + I(\rho, \chi)$. Thus

$$F(\overline{u}) = \tau_\ell(\rho\rightharpoonup u_{(1)})\chi S^{-1}(\tau_\ell(u_{(2)})) = u{\cdot_\rho}\chi \tag{4.8}$$

for all $u \in U$ by (4.3). In particular $U{\cdot_\rho}\chi = \operatorname{Im} F \subseteq A^o$.

The H-module $L(\rho, \chi)$ can be explained in terms of $D(A)$ when a very natural condition is satisfied. Let $i = i_A$. Then $i(g) \in \mathrm{Alg}(A^o, k) = G((A^o)^o)$ and the calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
a{\cdot_\rho}(u\otimes a') &= \tau(u_{(1)}, a_{(1)})\rho(u_{(2)})a_{(2)}\tau^{-1}(u_{(3)}, a_{(3)})a' \\
&= \tau(u_{(1)}, a_{(1)})\tau(u_{(2)}, g)a_{(2)}\tau^{-1}(u_{(3)}, a_{(3)})a' \\
&= \tau(u_{(1)(1)}, a_{(1)})\tau(u_{(1)(2)}, g)a_{(2)}\tau(u_{(2)}, s^{-1}(a_{(3)}))a' \\
&= \big(\tau_\ell(u_{(1)})_{(2)}(a_{(1)})\big)\big(\tau_\ell(u_{(1)})_{(1)}(g)\big)\, a_{(2)}\, \big(S^{-1}(\tau_\ell(u_{(2)}))(a_{(3)})\big)\, a' \\
&= \big((\tau_\ell(u_{(1)})\leftharpoonup i(g))(a_{(1)})\big)\, a_{(2)}\, \big(S^{-1}(\tau_\ell(u_{(2)}))(a_{(3)})\big)\, a'
\end{aligned}$$

shows that

$$a{\cdot_\rho}(u\otimes a') = \big(S^{-1}(\tau_\ell(u)_{(1)})\rightharpoonup a\leftharpoonup(\tau_\ell(u)_{(2)}\leftharpoonup i(g))\big)\, a' \tag{4.9}$$

for all $a, a \in A$ and $u \in U$. As a consequence

$$(u\otimes a'){\cdot_\rho}p = \big(\tau_\ell(u)_{(2)}\leftharpoonup i(g)\big)\,\big(i(a')\rightharpoonup p\big)\,\big(S^{-1}(\tau_\ell(u)_{(1)})\big) \tag{4.10}$$

for all $u \in U$, $a' \in A$, and $p \in A^o$.

Let $(A^o, \succ_{i(g)}, \Delta)$ be the object of ${}_{A^o}\mathcal{YD}^{A^o}$ defined in Example 4.5 and let $(M, \bullet)$ be associated left $D(A)$-module structure. Using (4.10) it is not hard to see that

$$(u\otimes a'){\cdot_\rho}p = f(u\otimes a')\bullet p$$

for all $u \in U$, $a' \in A$, and $p \in A^o$. Since $\chi \in G(A^o)$ we conclude that $H{\cdot_\rho}\chi = U{\cdot_\rho}\chi \subseteq A^o\bullet\chi$, the latter is a $D(A)$-submodule of A^o, and that the map $F : L(\rho, \chi) \longrightarrow A^o\bullet\chi$ given by $\overline{u} \mapsto \Psi_r(u) = u{\cdot_\rho}\chi$ is a one-one map of left H-modules, where $A^o\bullet\chi$ has the left H-module structure action obtained by pullback along f.

Note that

$$\Psi_\ell(a) = \tau_r(a_{(1)})\rho S(\tau_r(a_{(2)}\leftharpoonup\chi)) \tag{4.11}$$

follows for all $a \in A$ by (4.3) also, where here S is the antipode of U. A similar treatment of $R(\chi, \rho)$ can be given based on this equation.

5. Certain Algebras Whose Finite-Dimensional Simple Modules Are One-Dimensional

In light of Corollary 3.6 we wish to consider conditions under which the irreducible representations of an algebra are one-dimensional with an eye towards applications of the results of Sections 1–3 to certain classes of pointed Hopf algebras. *Throughout this section the field k is algebraically closed.* We are interested in algebras A satisfying the following condition:

> *A is generated by an abelian group Γ of units of A together with finitely many elements $a_1, \dots, a_\theta$ and there are non-trivial characters $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_\theta$ such that*

$$ga_ig^{-1} = \chi_i(g)a_i \text{ for all } g \in \Gamma \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq \theta. \tag{5.1}$$

We denote the preceding condition by (C). Many pointed Hopf algebras satisfy condition (C). See [15].

Suppose A is an algebra which satisfies condition (C). We will find sufficient conditions for all finite-dimensional simple left A-modules M to be one-dimensional. Finding a non-zero $m \in M$ which satisfies $a_1{\cdot}m = \cdots = a_\theta{\cdot}m = 0$ is the key. The theorem of this section gives such a condition which relates the values $\chi_j(g_i)$ to a Cartan matrix of finite type, where $g_1, \dots, g_\theta \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let A be an algebra satisfying (C).*

a) *Suppose $M = km$ is a one-dimensional left A-module. Then $a_i{\cdot}m = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \theta$.*

Suppose that M is a non-zero finite-dimensional left A-module.

b) *Assume that M has a non-zero element m such that $a_i{\cdot}m = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \theta$. Then M contains a one-dimensional left A-module.*

c) *Suppose that $I_1, \dots, I_r$ partition $\{1, \dots, \theta\}$ and a_i, a_j skew commute whenever i, j belong to different I_ℓ's. Let A_i be the subalgebra of A generated by the a_j's, where $j \in I_i$, and Γ. For all $1 \leq i \leq \theta$ assume that non-zero finite-dimensional left A_i-modules contain a one-dimensional submodule. Then M contains a one-dimensional left A-submodule.*

Proof: Assume the hypothesis of part a). Then there is a $\rho \in \mathrm{Alg}(A, k)$ such that $a{\cdot}m = \rho(a)m$ for all $a \in A$. Let $g \in \Gamma$ and $1 \le i \le \theta$. From the calculation

$$\rho(ga_i)m = ga_i{\cdot}m = \chi_i(g)a_ig{\cdot}m = \chi_i(g)\rho(a_ig)m$$

we see that $\rho(a_i) = \chi_i(g)\rho(a_i)$. Since $\chi_i \neq 1$ it follows that $\rho(a_i) = 0$. We have shown that $a_i{\cdot}m = 0$ and thus part a) is established.

As for part b), let $M' = \{m \in M \mid a_1{\cdot}m = \cdots = a_\theta{\cdot}m = 0\}$. Since $a_ig = \chi_i(g)^{-1}ga_i$ for all $1 \le i \le \theta$ and $g \in \Gamma$, we conclude that M' is a left Γ-module. Now the A-submodules of M' are the Γ-submodules of the same. Since M' is finite-dimensional, Γ is abelian, and k is algebraically closed, M' contains a one-dimensional left Γ-submodule. This concludes our proof of part b).

To show part c) we may assume $r = 2$ by induction on r. Thus $\theta > 1$ and without loss of generality we may assume $S_1 = \{a_1, \ldots, a_s\}$ and $S_2 = \{a_{s+1}, \ldots, a_\theta\}$ for some $1 \le s < \theta$. Since a_i and a_j skew commute whenever $1 \le i \le s < j \le \theta$, and the elements of the commutative group Γ skew commute with $a_1, \ldots, a_\theta$, we conclude that $A_2a_i = a_iA_2$ for all $1 \le i \le s$.

Let M' be the set of all $m \in M$ such that $a_1{\cdot}m = \cdots = a_s{\cdot}m = 0$. By assumption M contains a one-dimensional left A_1-submodule. Thus $M' \neq (0)$ by part a). Since $A_2a_i = a_iA_2$ for all $1 \le i \le s$ it follows that M' is a (non-zero) left A_2-submodule of M. By assumption M' contains a one-dimensional left A_2-submodule km. Now $a_{s+1}{\cdot}m = \cdots = a_\theta{\cdot}m = 0$ by part a) again. Since $m \in M'$ by definition $a_1{\cdot}m = \cdots = a_s{\cdot}m = 0$. Therefore M contains a one-dimensional left A-module by part b). $\square$

Corollary 5.2. *Let A be an algebra satisfying condition (C). Assume that A' is a subalgebra of A generated by $a_1, \ldots, a_\theta$ and a subgroup Γ' of Γ such that the restrictions $\chi_1|\Gamma', \ldots, \chi_\theta|\Gamma' \neq 1$. Then finite-dimensional simple left A-modules are one-dimensional if the same is true for A'.*

Proof: Suppose that finite-dimensional simple left A'-modules are one-dimensional and let M be a finite-dimensional simple left A-module. Then M contains a finite-dimensional simple left A'-module which must have the form km by assumption. By part a) of Lemma 5.1 we have that $a_1{\cdot}m = \cdots = a_\theta{\cdot}m = 0$. By part b) of the same M contains a one-dimensional A-submodule M'. Since M is simple $M = M'$. $\square$

Apropos of Lemma 5.1, finite-dimensional simple left A-modules are one-dimensional when $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_\theta$ are free monoid generators. For more generally:

Proposition 5.3. *Let A be an algebra satisfying condition (C). Suppose further that $\chi_1^{k_1}\cdots\chi_\theta^{k_\theta} = 1$, where $k_1,\dots,k_\theta \geq 0$, implies $k_1 = \cdots = k_\theta = 0$. Then finite-dimensional simple left A-modules are one-dimensional.*

Proof: Let M be a finite-dimensional non-zero left A-module. Regarding M as a left Γ-module we may write $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\widehat{\Gamma}} M_\lambda$ as the direct sum of weight spaces, where $M_\lambda = \{m \in M \mid g{\cdot}m = \lambda(g)m \ \forall\ g \in \Gamma\}$. Since M is finite-dimensional all but finitely many of the M_λ's are zero. Let $1 \leq i \leq \theta$. Our assumption $ga_ig^{-1} = \chi(g)a_i$ for all $g \in \Gamma$ means that $a_i{\cdot}M_\lambda \subseteq M_{\chi_i\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma}$.

By Lemma 5.1 it suffices to show that there is a non-zero $m \in M$ such that $a_i{\cdot}m = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \theta$. Suppose this is not the case. Since $M \neq (0)$ there is a $\lambda \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ such that $M_\lambda \neq (0)$. Choose a non-zero $m \in M_\lambda$. By induction there is an infinite sequence of integers $i_1, i_2, \dots$ such that $1 \leq i_j \leq \theta$ for all $j \geq 1$ and $a_{i_r}\cdots a_{i_1}{\cdot}m \neq 0$ for all $r \geq 1$. Now $a_{i_r}\cdots a_{i_1}{\cdot}m \in M_{\chi_r\cdots\chi_1\lambda}$. Our assumption on products of the characters $\chi_1^{k_1}\cdots\chi_\theta^{k_\theta}$ means that $\lambda, \chi_{i_1}\lambda, \chi_{i_2}\chi_{i_1}\lambda, \dots$ are all distinct. But this is impossible since all but finitely many weight spaces are zero. Therefore there is a non-zero $m \in M$ such that $a_i{\cdot}m = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \theta$ after all. $\square$

We recall some notions from [2]. A *datum of Cartan type*

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, (g_i)_{1\leq i\leq\theta}, (\chi_i)_{1\leq i\leq\theta}, (a_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq\theta})$$

consists of an abelian group Γ, elements $g_i \in \Gamma, \chi_i \in \widehat{\Gamma}, 1 \leq i \leq \theta$, and a $\theta{\times}\theta$ Cartan matrix (a_{ij}) satisfying

$$q_{ij}q_{ji} = q_{ii}^{a_{ij}},\ q_{ii} \neq 1,\ \text{with } q_{ij} = \chi_j(g_i) \text{ for all } 1 \leq i,j \leq \theta. \tag{5.2}$$

We define $q_i = q_{ii}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \theta$. Note that by (5.2)

$$q_i^{a_{ij}} = q_j^{a_{ji}} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i,j \leq \theta. \tag{5.3}$$

Recall that a (generalized) Cartan matrix $(a_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq\theta}$ is a matrix whose entries are integers such that $a_{ii} = 2$ for all i, $a_{ij} \leq 0$ for all $i \neq j$, and if $a_{ij} = 0$ then $a_{ji} = 0$ for all i,j. A datum $\mathcal{D}$ of Cartan type will be called of finite Cartan type if (a_{ij}) is of finite type.

Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, (g_i)_{1\leq i\leq\theta}, (\chi_i)_{1\leq i\leq\theta}, (a_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq\theta})$ be a datum of Cartan type. Suppose $1 \leq i,j \leq \theta$. We say that i is connected to j, denoted by $i \sim j$, if there are indices $1 \leq i_1, \cdots, i_t \leq \theta$, where $t \geq 2$, with $i = i_1, j = i_t$ and $a_{i_li_{l+1}} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq l < t$. In this case we define $a(i,j) = a_{i_1i_2}a_{i_2i_3}\cdots a_{i_{t-1}j}$, and $b(i,j) = a_{i_2i_1}a_{i_3i_2}\cdots a_{ji_{t-1}}$. Then it follows from

(5.2) that

$$q_i^{a(i,j)} = q_j^{b(i,j)}. \tag{5.4}$$

Connectivity is an equivalence relation and the equivalence classes are called the *connected components* of $\{1, \dots, \theta\}$.

More generally, let R be a ring and $(a_{ij}) \in \mathrm{M}_\theta(R)$ be a $\theta \times \theta$ matrix with coefficients in R. Let $1 \leq i, j \leq \theta$. We say that i is connected to j if there are indices $1 \leq i_1, \cdots, i_t \leq \theta$, where $t \geq 2$, with $i = i_1, j = i_t$ and $a_{i_l i_{l+1}} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq l < t$. In this generality connectivity may not be an equivalence relation.

In the proof of the main theorem in this section we use the following lemma in the special case of data of Cartan type.

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose* $(a_{ij}) \in \mathrm{M}_\theta(\mathbb{Z})$ *is a non-zero matrix and all indices* $1 \leq i, j \leq \theta$ *are connected. Assume further that* $q_1, \dots, q_\theta \in k$ *are non-zero, that* (5.3) *holds, and that one of the* q_i*'s is not a root of unity. Then:*

- a) *None of* $q_1, \dots, q_\theta$ *is a root of unity.*
- b) *There are roots of unity* $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\theta$ *in* k*, an element* $q \in k$*, and non-zero integers* $d_1, \dots, d_\theta$ *with* $q_i = \omega_i q^{d_i}$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq \theta$*.*
- c) $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$ *for all* $1 \leq i, j \leq \theta$*.*
- d) *Suppose* Γ *is a group,* $g_1, \dots, g_\theta \in \Gamma$*, and* $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_\theta \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ *satisfy* $\chi_i(g_i) = q_i$ *and* $\chi_j(g_i)\chi_i(g_j) = q_i^{a_{ij}}$ *for all* $1 \leq i, j \leq \theta$*. Consider the quadratic form*

$$Q(x_1, \dots, x_\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{\theta} 2x_i^2 d_i + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq \theta} 2x_i x_j d_i a_{ij}.$$

Let $k_1, \dots, k_\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and suppose* $\chi_1^{k_1} \cdots \chi_\theta^{k_\theta} = 1$ *or* $g_1^{k_1} \cdots g_\theta^{k_\theta} = 1$*. Then* $Q(k_1, \dots, k_\theta) = 0$*.*

Proof: Suppose that q_i is not a root of unity and $a_{ij} \neq 0$ where $1 \leq j \leq \theta$. Since $q_i^{a_{ij}} = q_j^{a_{ji}}$, necessarily $a_{ji} \neq 0$ and therefore q_j is not a root of unity. We now conclude that if $1 \leq \ell \leq \theta$ and i is connected to ℓ then q_ℓ is not a root of unity. We have shown part a) and the hypotheses of Lemma 5.5 are met. Thus part b) follows from Lemma 5.5.

By parts a) and b), q is not a root of unity. For $1 \leq i, j \leq \theta$ we calculate $\omega_i q^{d_i a_{ij}} = q_i^{a_{ij}} = q_j^{a_{ji}} = \omega_j q^{d_j a_{ji}}$. Choose a positive integer N such that $\omega_i^N = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \theta$. Then $q^{N d_i a_{ij}} = q^{N d_j a_{ji}}$. Since q is not a root of unity and N is a positive integer the preceding equation implies part c).

It remains to show part d). Let $1 \leq i \leq \theta$. By assumption $q_i^2 = \chi_i(g_i)^2 = q_i^{a_{ii}}$ and thus $a_{ii} = 2$ since q_i is not a root of unity.

Now let $k_1, \ldots, k_\theta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose that $\chi_1^{k_1} \cdots \chi_\theta^{k_\theta} = 1$. Then $\prod_{j=1}^{\theta} \chi_j(g_i)^{k_j} = 1$, and hence $\prod_{j=1}^{\theta} \chi_j(g_i)^{k_i k_j} = 1$, for all $1 \leq i \leq \theta$. Suppose that $g_1^{k_1} \cdots g_\theta^{k_\theta} = 1$. Then $\prod_{i=1}^{\theta} \chi_j(g_i)^{k_i} = 1$, and hence $\prod_{i=1}^{\theta} \chi_j(g_i)^{k_i k_j} = 1$, for all $1 \leq j \leq \theta$. Thus in both cases

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \prod_{1 \leq i,j \leq \theta} q_{ij}^{k_i k_j} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\theta} q_i^{k_i^2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq \theta} q_{ij}^{k_i k_j} \prod_{\theta \geq i > j \geq 1} q_{ji}^{k_i k_j} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\theta} q_i^{k_i^2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq \theta} (q_{ij} q_{ji})^{k_i k_j} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\theta} q_i^{k_i^2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq \theta} q_i^{a_{ij} k_i k_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Raising the last expression to the $2N$ power we have $1 = (q^N)^{Q(k_1, \ldots, k_\theta)}$. Since q^N is not a root of unity necessarily $Q(k_1, \ldots, k_\theta) = 0$. □

We remark that part c) of the previous lemma was shown in [1, Lemma 2.4] for matrices of Cartan type in a different way.

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose that S is a finite non-empty subset of non-zero elements of k which satisfies the following property: For all $x, y \in S$ there is an $r \geq 1$, a sequence $x = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_r = y$ in S, and there are sequences $n_1, \ldots, n_r$ and $m_0, \ldots, m_{r-1}$ of non-zero integers such that $x_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}} = x_i^{n_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then there is a $q \in k$ such that each $x \in S$ can be written as $x = \omega q^L$ for some root of unity $\omega \in k$ and non-zero integer L.*

Proof: We may as well assume that there is a non-zero $x_0 \in S$. Consider tuples $\mathcal{C} = (x_0, \ldots, x_r, n_1, \ldots, n_r, m_0, \ldots, m_{r-1})$, where the condition of the lemma is satisfied and let $|\mathcal{C}| = n_1 \cdots n_r$. By assumption there are tuples $\mathcal{C}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_s$ such that each $x \in S$ appears as an x_i in one of them.

Let $N = |\mathcal{C}_1| \cdots |\mathcal{C}_s|$. Then N is a non-zero integer. Since k is algebraically closed there is a $q \in k$ which satisfies $q^N = x_0$. Let $\mathcal{C} =$

$(x_0, \dots, x_r, n_1, \dots, n_r, m_0, \dots, m_{r-1})$ be one of the $\mathcal{C}_i$'s. To complete the proof it suffices to show for all $0 \leq i \leq r$ that

$$x_i = \omega' \left(q^{\frac{N}{n_1 \cdots n_i}} \right)^{\ell_i}$$

for some root of unity $\omega' \in k$ and non-zero integer ℓ_i. The case $i = 0$ is trivial. (By convention $n_1 \cdots n_i = 1$ when $i = 0$.)

Suppose that $1 < i \leq r$ and x_{i-1} has this form. Then we can write $x_{i-1} = \omega \left(q^{\frac{N}{n_1 \cdots n_{i-1}}} \right)^{\ell_{i-1}}$ for some root of unity $\omega \in k$ and non-zero integer ℓ_{i-1}. From the calculation

$$x_i^{n_i} = x_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}} = \omega^{m_{i-1}} \left(q^{\frac{N}{n_1 \cdots n_i}} \right)^{n_i \ell_{i-1} m_{i-1}} = \omega^{m_{i-1}} \left[\left(q^{\frac{N}{n_1 \cdots n_i}} \right)^{m_{i-1} \ell_{i-1}} \right]^{n_i}$$

we deduce that $x_i \neq 0$ and that $x_i = \omega' \left(q^{\frac{N}{n_1 \cdots n_i}} \right)^{m_{i-1} \ell_{i-1}}$, where $\omega' \in k$ is a root of unity. Take $l_i = m_{i-1} l_{i-1}$. $\square$

The main result of this section is:

Theorem 5.6. *Let A be an algebra satisfying (C) such that Γ and $(\chi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \theta}$ are part of a datum $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma, (g_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \theta}, (\chi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq \theta}, (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq \theta})$ of finite Cartan type. Suppose that all q_i, where $1 \leq i \leq \theta$, are not roots of unity, and that if $1 \leq i, j \leq \theta$ are in different connected components of $\{1, \dots, \theta\}$, then a_i and a_j skew commute. Then finite-dimensional simple left A-modules are one-dimensional.*

Proof: Let $I_1, \dots, I_r$ be the components of $\{1, \dots, \theta\}$. Since the matrix $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq \theta}$ is of finite type so are the matrices $(a_{ij})_{(i,j) \in I_l \times I_l}$ of I_l for all $1 \leq l \leq r$. Thus by virtue of part c) of Lemma 5.1 we may assume $r = 1$; that is $\{1, \dots, \theta\}$ is connected.

Suppose that $\{1, \dots, \theta\}$ is connected. We will use Proposition 5.3 to complete the proof. Let $k_1, \dots, k_\theta \geq 0$ and suppose that $\chi_1^{k_1} \cdots \chi_\theta^{k_\theta} = 1$. Then $Q(k_1, \dots, k_\theta) = 0$ by part d) of Lemma 5.4. Let $b_{ij} = d_i a_{ij}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq \theta$ and set $B = (b_{ij})$. Then B is a symmetric matrix by part c) of the same lemma. Since $a_{ii} = 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \theta$ we have

$$0 = Q(k_1, \dots, k_\theta) = \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq \theta} k_i b_{ij} k_j = (k_1 \cdots k_\theta) B \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ \vdots \\ k_\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

It will follow by Proposition 5.3 that all finite-dimensional simple left A-modules are one-dimensional once we show that $k_1 = \cdots = k_\theta = 0$.

To show the latter we follow [6, Chapter III]. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\theta$ be a basis for the root system Φ corresponding to the Cartan matrix (a_{ij}).

Let $(\,,\,)$ be the inner product of the euclidean vector space spanned by Φ. By definition $a_{ij} = \dfrac{2(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}$. Set $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\theta} k_i\alpha_i$. Then

$$(x,x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\theta} k_ik_j(\alpha_i,\alpha_j) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\theta} k_ik_ja_{ij}\frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2}.$$

Since (a_{ij}) is connected there is a non-zero $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $d_i = c\dfrac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2}$ for all $1 \le i \le \theta$. Thus $0 = Q(k_1,\dots,k_\theta) = c(x,x)$ which means $x = 0$ and consequently $k_1 = \cdots = k_\theta = 0$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a datum of Cartan type and assume that no q_i is a root of unity. We have seen that the characters $\chi_1,\dots,\chi_\theta$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-linearly independent if $\mathcal{D}$ is connected and of finite type. If $\mathcal{D}$ is not connected, then linear independency fails for any non-trivial linking. The next example shows that for connected data $\mathcal{D}$ the characters are in general not linearly independent if $\mathcal{D}$ is not of finite type.

Example 5.7. Let Γ be a free abelian group of rank two with basis g_1, g_2, and $q \in k$ not a root of unity. Let $(a_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Define $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ by $\chi_j(g_i) = q^{a_{ij}}$ for all $1 \le i,j \le 2$. Then $q_1 = q_2 = q^2$ is not a root of unity, $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma, (g_i)_{1\le i\le 2}, (\chi_i)_{1\le i\le 2}, (a_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le 2})$ is a connected datum of Cartan type, but not of finite type, and $\chi_1\chi_2 = 1$.

Finally we note that Theorem 5.6 is false if the q_i's are roots of unity.

Example 5.8. Let $N \ge 2$ be an integer, let q a primitive N-th root of 1, and let $A = k\langle g, a \mid g^N = 1, gag^{-1} = qa\rangle$. The algebra A satisfies (C) with $\Gamma = \langle g\rangle$, and $\chi(g) = q$, and Γ and χ are part of a Cartan datum of type A_1. Let $\mathbb{Z}_N$ be the additive cyclic group of order N and M be an N-dimensional vector space with basis $m_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Then the rules $gm_i = q^im_i$, and $xm_i = m_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ determine an irreducible left A-module structure on M.

References

[1] Nicolás Andruskiewitsch and Hans-Jürgen Schneider, A characterization of quantum groups, *J. reine angew. Math.* **577** (2004), 81–104.

[2] Nicolás Andruskiewitsch and Hans-Jürgen Schneider, Finite quantum groups over abelian groups of prime exponent *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **35** (2002), 1–26.

[3] Nicolás Andruskiewitsch and Hans-Jürgen Schneider, On the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras, preprint 2005.

[4] Nicolás Andruskiewitsch and Hans-Jürgen Schneider, Pointed Hopf algebras. New directions in Hopf algebras, 1–68, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.* **43**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[5] Yukio Doi and Mitsuhiro Takeuchi, Multiplication alteration by two-cocycles—the quantum version, *Comm. Algebra* **22** (1994), 5715–5732.

[6] James E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory. Second printing, revised. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **9**. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978. xii+171

[7] Larry A. Lambe and David E. Radford, Algebraic aspects of the quantum Yang–Baxter equation, *J. of Alg.* **154** (1993), 228–288.

[8] Larry A. Lambe and David E. Radford, Introduction to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and quantum groups: an algebraic approach. Mathematics and its Applications **423**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 1997.

[9] S. Majid, Quasitriangular Hopf algebras and Yang–Baxter equations, *Internat. J. of Modern Phys. A.* **5** (1990), 1–91.

[10] S. Montgomery, "Hopf Algebras and their actions on rings," **82**, *Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*, AMS, Providence, RI, 1993.

[11] David E. Radford, Finite-dimensional simple-pointed Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **211** (1999), 686–710.

[12] David E. Radford, On oriented quantum algebras derived form representations of the quantum double of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, *J. Algebra* **270** (2003), 670–695.

[13] D. E. Radford, The structure of Hopf algebras with a projection, *J. Algebra* **92** (1985), 322–347.

[14] David E. Radford and Hans-Jürgen Schneider, Biproducts and two-cocycle twists of Hopf algebras, preprint (2005)

[15] David E. Radford and Hans-Jürgen Schneider, On the irreducible representations of a class of pointed Hopf algebras, preprint (2005)

[16] Moss E. Sweedler, Hopf algebras. Mathematics Lecture Note Series W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969.

University of Illinois at Chicago, Department of Mathematics, Statistics and, Computer Science (m/c 240), 801 South Morgan Street, Chicago, IL 60607-7045

E-mail address: `radford@uic.edu`

Mathematisches Institut, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Theresienstr. 39, D-80333 München, Germany

E-mail address: `Hans-Juergen.Schneider@mathematik.uni-muenchen.de`